arXiv:1607.05419v1 [math-ph] 19 Jul 2016

Symplectic geometry and spectral properties of classical and quantum coupled angular momenta

Yohann Le Floch Álvaro Pelayo

Abstract

We give a detailed study of the symplectic geometry of a family of integrable systems obtained by coupling two angular momenta in a non trivial way. These systems depend on a parameter $t \in [0,1]$ and exhibit different behaviors according to its value. For a certain range of values, the system is semitoric, and we compute some of its symplectic invariants. Even though these invariants have been known for almost a decade, this is to our knowledge the first example of their computation in the case of a non-toric semitoric system on a compact manifold (the only invariant of toric systems is the image of the momentum map). In the second part of the paper we quantize this system, compute its joint spectrum, and describe how to use this joint spectrum to recover information about the symplectic invariants.

1 Introduction

One of the most mathematically interesting and physically relevant finite dimensional integrable systems with two degrees of freedom is produced by coupling two angular momenta in a non trivial fashion, depending on a parameter $t \in [0,1]$ encoding the different non trivial ways in which the coupling can occur. To describe the system precisely, let $R_2 > R_1 > 0$ be two positive real numbers, which represent the norms of the two angular momenta; when talking about quantization, we will moreover want R_1 and R_2 to be half-integers, but for the classical study this assumption is not needed. Endow $M = \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the coordinates $(x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2)$ and the symplectic form $\omega = -(R_1\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \oplus R_2\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2})$, where $\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ is the standard symplectic form on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ (the one giving area 4π). The *coupled angular momentum system* is given by the map $F = (J, H)$ where J and H are defined as

$$\begin{cases} J = R_1 z_1 + R_2 z_2 \\ H = (1-t)z_1 + t(x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + z_1z_2) \end{cases}, \tag{1}$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D05,37J35,70H06,81Q20,35P20.
Key words and phrases. Integrable systems, semitoric systems, symplectic geometry, semiclassical analysis.

with t a parameter in $[0, 1]$.

It is of great relevance in physics, since it can be used to model a variety of phenomena. Even though it is given by relatively simple formulae, its symplectic and analytic properties are very rich; the characteristics of the system can change drastically as the parameter varies, and it displays many interesting features for which the available literature is minimal: passing from non-degenerate to degenerate singularities, degeneration of elliptic fibers into nodal fibers, and so on. One of its most interesting features, and motivation for its study, which has been initiated by Sadovskiĭ and Zhilinskiĭ [18], is that it exhibits non trivial monodromy for certain values of t; for these values of the parameter, it is a so-called *semitoric integrable system* with one focus-focus critical value. These systems are common in the physics literature and their symplectic classification has been understood only recently [16, 17]; it relies on five symplectic invariants.

To our knowledge, the computation of these invariants has been performed only in the case of the coupling of a spin and an oscillator, the so-called Jaynes-Cummings system, so far [15], in which some computations are already heavy (we should also mention that the computation of one of the invariants has been achieved in the case of the spherical pendulum [7], but the latter is not a semitoric system but rather a generalized semitoric system, see [14]).

Our first goal is to compute these invariants for the coupled angular momentum system, thus providing another example, the first one on a compact phase space; it turns out that it is a quite complicated task, since the system does not exhibit the same kind of symmetries as the spin-oscillator does.

Our second goal is to quantize this system and compute the associated joint spectrum, with the help of Berezin-Toeplitz operators; besides being interesting in itself, this also constitutes a good example for a future general study of the description of the joint spectrum of commuting self-adjoint Berezin-Toeplitz operators near a focus-focus value of the underlying integrable system, in the spirit of the work of Vũ Ngọc [22] on pseudodifferential operators on cotangent bundles.

Acknowledgements YLF was supported by the European Research Council Advanced Grant 338809. Part of this work was done during a visit of YLF to AP at University of California San Diego in April 2016, and he would like to thank the members of this university for their hospitality. AP is supported by NSF CAREER grant DMS-1518420. He also received support from Severo Ochoa Program at ICMAT in Spain. Part of this work was carried out at ICMAT and Universidad Complutense de Madrid.

2 Main results

The paper emphasizes the interplay between the symplectic geometry of a classical integrable system and the spectral theory of the associated semiclassical integrable system. Our main

results concern both the classical coupled angular momentum system and its quantum counterpart.

2.1 Symplectic geometry of classical coupled angular momenta

We will prove later that the map $F : M \to \mathbb{R}^2$ determined by (1) is the momentum map for an integrable system, which means that its components J and H Poisson-commute and that the associated Hamiltonian vector fields X_J, X_H are almost everywhere linearly independent.

Definition 2.1. An integrable system $F = (J, H) : M \to \mathbb{R}^2$ on a connected four-dimensional symplectic manifold (M, ω) is said to be *semitoric* if J is proper and is the momentum map for an effective Hamiltonian circle action and F has only non-degenerate singularities with no hyperbolic component (if only this last property is satisfied, the system is said to be *almost toric*). A semitoric integrable system is said to be *simple* if for every critical value c of F of focus-focus type, there is a unique critical point on the fiber $F^{-1}(c)$.

Remark 2.2. This definition implies that in semitoric or almost toric systems only singularities of elliptic-elliptic, elliptic-transverse and focus-focus type can occur (see Section 3 for more details about singularities of integrable systems).

The symplectic classification of simple semitoric systems has been achieved by the second author and Vũ Ngọc [16, 17], and relies on five invariants:

1. the *number* of focus-focus critical values of the system,
2. a family of *convex polygons* obtained by unwinding the singular affine structure of the system,
3. a number $h > 0$ for each focus-focus singularity, the *height invariant*, corresponding to the height of the image of the focus-focus critical value in any of these polygons, and measuring the volume of some reduced space,
4. a *Taylor series* of the form $S^\infty = a_1 X + a_2 Y + \sum_{i+j>1} b_{ij} X^i Y^j$ for each focus-focus singularity,
5. an integer associated with each focus-focus singularity and polygon in item 2, called the *twisting index*, reflecting the fact that there exists a privileged toric momentum map in a neighborhood of the singularity. When $m_f = 1$, one can always find a polygon in item 2 whose associated twisting index vanishes.

We will describe these invariants in more details in Section 4.1. As we already said before, we will see that the system of coupled angular momenta is of toric type for certain values of the parameter t and semitoric with exactly one focus-focus value for other values of t, always including $t = 1/2$. Our main result is the computation of some of the symplectic invariants

in this case $t = 1/2$; this is to our knowledge the first time those are computed for a semitoric system on a compact manifold.


$S^\infty = \pi X + \left(\frac{7}{2}\ln 2 + 3\ln 3 - \frac{3}{2}\ln 5\right)Y + O(2)$
$h \approx 1.13559859975$
$\epsilon = 1,\ k_1$
$S^\infty = \pi X + \left(\frac{7}{2}\ln 2 + 3\ln 3 - \frac{3}{2}\ln 5\right)Y + O(2)$
$h \approx 1.13559859975$
$\epsilon = -1,\ k_2 = k_1 - 1$
x
y

(a) Polygon Δ_1 with $\epsilon = 1$.

(b) Polygon Δ_2 with $\epsilon = -1$.

Figure 1: The symplectic invariants of [16, 17] for the coupled angular momentum system F in formula (1) for the values of $R_1 = 1, R_2 = 5/2$. The blue dot indicates the image of the focus-focus critical value in the polygon. The number $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ corresponds to a choice of vertical half-line at the focus-focus value, as represented by the thin black segment.

Theorem 2.3. *For $t = 1/2$, the coupled angular momentum system (J, H) is a simple semitoric integrable system. Its symplectic invariants satisfy the following properties:*

1. *the number of focus-focus critical values is equal to one,*
2. *the polygonal invariant is represented by the two rational convex polygons Δ_1 and Δ_2 drawn in Figure 4,*
3. *if we set $\Theta = \frac{R_2}{R_1}$, the height invariant is equal to*

$$h = \frac{2R_1}{\pi}\arccos\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\Theta}}\right) + \frac{R_1}{\pi}\sqrt{4\Theta - 1} - \frac{2R_1(\Theta - 1)}{\pi}\arctan(2\Theta - 1)$$

$$+\frac{2R_1(\Theta - 1)}{\pi}\arctan\left(\frac{(2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1)\sqrt{4\Theta - 1} - 2\Theta^2}{(2\Theta - 1)^2}\right),$$

4. *for $R_1 = 1, R_2 = 5/2$, the two first terms of the Taylor series invariant S are $a_1 = \pi$ and $a_2 = \frac{7}{2}\ln 2 + 3\ln 3 - \frac{3}{2}\ln 5$, which means that*

$$S^\infty(X, Y) = \pi X + \left(\frac{7}{2}\ln 2 + 3\ln 3 - \frac{3}{2}\ln 5\right)Y + O(2),$$

where $O(2)$ stands for terms of total degree strictly greater than one,

5. *the twisting indices k_1, k_2 of Δ_1, Δ_2 satisfy $k_2 = k_1 - 1$.*

This theorem follows from Propositions 3.5, 4.15, 4.18, 4.14 and 4.13 and Lemma 4.16.

Remark 2.4. We do not compute k_1, k_2 in the theorem. We do not compute the terms a_1 and a_2 in the Taylor series invariants for general values of R_1 and R_2 because this would lead to overly complicated computations, but we give detailed explanations so that the interested reader can compute them for other fixed values of R_1, R_2. These results are summed up in Figure 1 for the case $R_1 = 1, R_2 = 5/2$.

2.2 Spectral properties of quantum coupled angular momenta

Our second result is the construction of a quantum integrable system $(\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k)$ quantizing (J, H), that is the data of two commuting self-adjoint operators acting on some Hilbert space, with underlying classical system (J, H). Note that such a quantization may not exist for a general integrable system, see [9] for the description of some obstructions. To be more precise, since the coupled angular momentum system is defined on a compact phase space, the relevant quantization involves the so-called geometric quantization [11, 21] and $\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k$ are Berezin-Toeplitz operators, see for instance [4, 5, 12, 20].

These operators act on finite dimensional Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_k$ that we describe explicitly in Section 5 and which depend on a semiclassical parameter k, a positive integer which tends to infinity. This integer plays the part of the inverse of the Planck constant $\hbar$. The rigourous way to express that $(\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k)$ quantizes (J, H) is to say that the principal symbols of $\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k$ are equal to J and H respectively.

We sum up some of the results of Section 5 in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_k$ has dimension $4k^2 R_1 R_2$. There exists a basis*

$$(g_{\ell,m})_{\substack{0\leq \ell \leq 2kR_1-1 \\ 0\leq m\leq 2kR_2-1}}$$

of $\mathcal{H}_k$ such that

$$\hat{J}_k g_{\ell,m} = \left(R_1 + R_2 - \frac{\ell + m + 1}{k}\right) g_{\ell,m}.$$

Furthermore, using the convention $g_{-1,m} = g_{2kR_1,m} = g_{\ell,-1} = g_{\ell,2kR_2} = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_k g_{\ell,m} =& \frac{1}{4k^2 R_1 R_2}\Big(2t\sqrt{\ell(2kR_1-\ell)(m+1)(2kR_2-1-m)}\; g_{\ell-1,m+1} \\ &+ (2(kR_1-\ell)-1)\,(2kR_2-(2m+1)t) g_{\ell,m} \\ &+ 2t\sqrt{(\ell+1)(2kR_1-1-\ell)m(2kR_2-m)}\; g_{\ell+1,m-1}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

We compute numerically the joint spectrum of $(\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k)$ using these formulas. Furthermore, we state a conjecture regarding the description of this joint spectrum near the focus-focus critical value and explain how to check on the joint spectrum that our computations of the symplectic invariants of the classical system are correct.

2.3 Structure of the article

Our study is divided into three parts, and the structure of this article respects them: the general study of the system, the computation of the semitoric symplectic invariants, and the quantization problem. We start, in the next section, by investigating the classical properties of the system (e.g. singularities, image of the momentum map). Ultimately, we obtain that the system is semitoric but not of toric type for some values of the deformation parameter t, always including $t = 1/2$ no matter which values R_1 and R_2 take; we study this particular case in the third section. As explained earlier, the symplectic classification of semitoric systems is achieved by five symplectic invariants, and we compute four of them for this particular example. In the last section, we explain how to quantize the coupled angular momentum system; more precisely, we construct a pair of commuting self-adjoint Berezin-Toeplitz operators whose principal symbols are equal to J and H respectively. We also describe the computation of the joint spectrum of these operators, and display numerical simulations of the latter. We conclude by stating a conjecture about the description of the joint spectrum of commuting self-adjoint Berezin-Toeplitz operators near a focus-focus value of the underlying integrable system, and by providing numerical evidence in favor of this conjecture.

3 Classical properties of F

Let us study the classical system (J, H) of coupled angular momenta introduced in Equation (1). The first observation that we make is that these two functions indeed Poisson commute. This is a simple exercise, but it is a good way to introduce the convention that we will be using throughout the paper. If $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, its *Hamiltonian vector field* X_f is defined by the formula

$$df + \omega(X_f, \cdot) = 0.$$

The Poisson bracket of two smooth functions $f, g : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\{f, g\} = \mathcal{L}_{X_f} g = \omega(X_f, X_g),$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ stands for the Lie derivative.

Lemma 3.1. *We have that* $\{J, H\} = 0$.

Proof. Since the coordinates on the first factor Poisson commute with the ones on the second factor, and since $\{z_1, z_1\} = 0$, we obtain that

$$\{J, H\} = t\left(R_1\{z_1, x_1x_2\} + R_1\{z_1, y_1y_2\} + R_2\{z_2, x_1x_2\} + R_2\{z_2, y_1y_2\}\right),$$

and by the Leibniz rule (still using the remark above):

$$\{J, H\} = t\left(R_1 x_2\{z_1, x_1\} + R_1 y_2\{z_1, y_1\} + R_2 x_1\{z_2, x_2\} + R_2 y_1\{z_2, y_2\}\right).$$

With the convention above, one readily checks that the relation $\{x_i, y_i\} = -\frac{1}{R_i} z_i$ holds, as well as its cyclic permutations, for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, the previous equality yields $\{J, H\} = 0$. □

In order to check that $F = (J, H)$ forms an integrable system, we still need to understand its singularities, which are the points where X_J, X_H are not linearly independent, or equivalently where dF has non zero corank. Since we are in dimension four, there are two cases: critical points of corank one (i.e. where dF has corank one), and critical points of corank two, for which $dF = 0$, which are usually called *fixed points*.

Definition 3.2. A fixed point $m \in M$ is said to be *non-degenerate* if the Hessians $d^2J(m)$ and $d^2H(m)$ generate a Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra of quadratic forms on T_mM, equipped with the linearization of the Poisson bracket, that is a maximal Abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{c}$ such that for every element $q \in \mathfrak{c}$, ad_q is a semisimple endomorphism.

The problem with this definition is that one may ask how to check this condition in practice; this is the purpose of the following lemma, which can be found in [3] for instance, and uses the identification of the Lie algebra of quadratic forms and the symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 3.3. *A critical point $m \in M$ of corank two of F is non-degenerate if and only if there exists a linear combination A of $\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m)$ and $\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m)$ with four distinct eigenvalues (such a A will be called* regular*). Here we slightly abuse notation by fixing a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of T_mM and by identifying the Hessians of J and H with their matrices in $\mathcal{B}$, and Ω is the matrix of the symplectic form ω in $\mathcal{B}$.*

If such a linear combination A exists, the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ generated by $\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m)$ and $\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m)$ is equal to the commutant of A. The eigenvalues of A come in pairs $(\lambda, -\lambda)$, and the spaces $E_{\pm\lambda} = \ker(A - \lambda\mathrm{Id}) \oplus \ker(A + \lambda\mathrm{Id})$ associated with distinct pairs are symplectically orthogonal. There are three distinct possibilities:

1. if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a symplectic basis of $E_{\pm\lambda}$ in which the restriction of A to $E_{\pm\lambda}$ has matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$,
2. if $\lambda = i\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a symplectic basis of $E_{\pm\lambda}$ in which the restriction of A to $E_{\pm\lambda}$ has matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ -\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

3. if $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$, $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$, then $\pm\bar{\lambda}$ are also eigenvalues of A, and there exists a symplectic basis of T_mM in which A has matrix $\begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta & -\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$.

This leads to the following classification, due to Williamson [25]: there exist linear symplectic coordinates (u_1, u_2, ξ_1, ξ_2) of T_mM and a basis q_1, q_2 of $\mathfrak{c}$ such that each q_i is of one of the following forms:

1. $q_i = x_i\xi_i$ (*hyperbolic component*),
2. $q_i = \frac{1}{2}(x_i^2 + \xi_i^2)$ (*elliptic component*),
3. $q_1 = u_1\xi_2 - u_2\xi_1$ and $q_2 = u_1\xi_1 + u_2\xi_2$ (*focus-focus component*).

This notion of non-degeneracy can be extended to the case of critical points of corank one, see for instance [3, Definition 1.21].

3.1 Critical points of maximal corank

We start by looking for the critical points of maximal corank.

Lemma 3.4. *The map F has four critical points m_i, $i = 0, \dots, 3$, of maximal corank:*

$$\begin{cases} m_0 = (0,0,1,0,0,-1), & c_0 = F(m_0) = (R_1 - R_2, 1 - 2t) \\ m_1 = (0,0,-1,0,0,-1), & c_1 = F(m_1) = (-(R_1 + R_2), 2t - 1) \\ m_2 = (0,0,-1,0,0,1), & c_2 = F(m_2) = (R_2 - R_1, -1) \\ m_3 = (0,0,1,0,0,1), & c_3 = F(m_3) = (R_1 + R_2, 1) \end{cases}.$$

Proof. At a critical point $m = (x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2)$ of maximal corank for F, the restriction of $dJ = R_1 dz_1 + R_2 dz_2$ to the tangent space $T_m(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ must vanish. Therefore, we must have $z_1, z_2 = \pm 1$. But then the restriction of $dH = (1-t)dz_1 + t(z_1 dz_2 + z_2 dz_1)$ to $T_m(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ also vanishes. □

We want to understand if these critical points are non-degenerate, and if it is the case, what their Williamson types are. Let us define $t^-, t^+ \in (0,1)$ as

$$t^{\pm} = \frac{R_2}{2R_2 + R_1 \mp 2\sqrt{R_1 R_2}}; \tag{2}$$

observe that we always have $t^- < \frac{1}{2} < t^+$.

Proposition 3.5. *The critical point m_0 is non-degenerate of focus-focus type when the parameter t satisfies $t^- < t < t^+$, degenerate when $t \in \{t^-, t^+\}$, and non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type otherwise.*

These results are summed up in Figure 2. The proof will rely on the criterion stated in Lemma 3.3, and most of the time the relevant linear combination will simply be $\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_0)$.



Figure 2: The singularity type of m_0 with respect to the parameter t.

Proof. We start by computing the Hessian matrices of J and H at m_0. Near this point, (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) form a local coordinate system and

$$z_1 = \sqrt{1-(x_1^2+y_1^2)}, \quad z_2 = -\sqrt{1-(x_2^2+y_2^2)}.$$

The point m_0 corresponds to $x_1 = y_1 = x_2 = y_2 = 0$. Therefore, by using the Taylor series expansion of $\sqrt{1+u}$ when $u \to 0$, we get

$$J = R_1 - R_2 - R_1\left(\frac{x_1^2+y_1^2}{2}\right) + R_2\left(\frac{x_2^2+y_2^2}{2}\right) + O(3)$$

near m_0, where $O(3)$ stands for $O(\|(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)\|^3)$ to simplify notation. Similarly,

$$H = 1 - 2t + (2t-1)\left(\frac{x_1^2+y_1^2}{2}\right) + t\left(\frac{x_2^2+y_2^2}{2}\right) + t(x_1x_2 + y_1y_2) + O(3)$$

near m_0. Consequently, the Hessians of J and H at m_0 in the basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $T_{m_0}M$ associated with (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) read

$$d^2J(m_0) = \begin{pmatrix} -R_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -R_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d^2H(m_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2t-1 & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 2t-1 & 0 & t \\ t & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & t & 0 & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $\omega_{m_0} = -R_1 dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + R_2 dx_2 \wedge dy_2$ on $T_{m_0}M = T_{(0,0,1)}\mathbb{S}^2 \times T_{(0,0,-1)}\mathbb{S}^2$, so the matrix of ω_{m_0} in $\mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -R_1 & 0 & 0 \\ R_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -R_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{2t-1}{R_1} & 0 & \frac{t}{R_1} \\ \frac{1-2t}{R_1} & 0 & -\frac{t}{R_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{t}{R_2} & 0 & -\frac{t}{R_2} \\ \frac{t}{R_2} & 0 & \frac{t}{R_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

The characteristic polynomial of $A = \Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_0)$ is

$$P = X^4 + \frac{(R_1^2 + 4R_2^2 - 2R_1R_2)t^2 - 4R_2^2t + R_2^2}{R_1^2R_2^2}X^2 + \frac{t^2(t-1)^2}{R_1^2R_2^2} = Q(X^2),$$

where Q is a degree two polynomial. A straightforward computation shows that the discriminant Δ of Q satisfies

$$R_1^4R_2^4\Delta = \left((R_1^2 + 4R_2^2)t^2 - 2R_2(R_1 + 2R_2)t + R_2^2\right)\left((2R_2 - R_1)t - R_2\right)^2.$$

The polynomial $\left((2R_2 - R_1)t - R_2\right)^2$ is positive, except at $t = R_2/(2R_2 - R_1)$ where it vanishes. So the sign of Δ is given by the sign of

$$T = (R_1^2 + 4R_2^2)t^2 - 2R_2(R_1 + 2R_2)t + R_2^2.$$

The discriminant $\widetilde{\Delta}$ of T satisfies

$$\widetilde{\Delta} = 4R_2^2(R_1 + 2R_2)^2 - 4R_2^2(R_1^2 + 4R_2^2) = 16R_1R_2^3 > 0,$$

therefore T has two real roots

$$t^{\pm} = \frac{R_2(R_1 + 2R_2) - 2R_2\sqrt{R_1R_2}}{R_1^2 + 4R_2^2}.$$

We claim that these are the same as in Equation (2); in order to see this, we multiply both the numerator and denominator by the quantity $R_2(R_1 + 2R_2) + 2R_2\sqrt{R_1R_2}$, and we use the fact that

$$\left(R_2(R_1 + 2R_2) - 2R_2\sqrt{R_1R_2}\right)\left(R_2(R_1 + 2R_2) + 2R_2\sqrt{R_1R_2}\right) = R_2^2(R_1^2 + 4R_2^2).$$

When $t^- < t < t^+$ and $t \neq R_2/(2R_2 - R_1)$, we get that $\Delta < 0$, which means that for such t, Q has two complex conjugate roots, and A has four eigenvalues of the form $\pm\alpha \pm i\beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, for such t, m_0 is non-degenerate of focus-focus type.

When $0 < t < t^-$ or $t^+ < t < 1$, we obtain that $\Delta > 0$, so Q has two real roots $\lambda^\pm = (-b \pm \sqrt{\Delta})/2$ where

$$b = \frac{(R_1^2 + 4R_2^2 - 2R_1R_2)t^2 - 4R_2^2 t + R_2^2}{R_1^2 R_2^2} = \frac{T(t) + 2R_1R_2t(1-t)}{R_1^2R_2^2} > 0.$$

Let $C = R_1^4 R_2^4(\Delta - b^2)$; we have that

$$C = T\left((2R_2 - R_1)t - R_2\right)^2 - \left(T + 2R_1R_2t(1-t)\right)^2$$

which can be written as

$$C = T\Big((2R_2 - R_1)^2t^2 - 2R_2(2R_2 - R_1)t + R_2^2 - 4R_1R_2t(1-t) - T\Big) - 4R_1^2R_2^2t^2(1-t)^2.$$

By expanding, one can check that

$$(2R_2 - R_1)^2t^2 - 2R_2(2R_2 - R_1)t + R_2^2 - 4R_1R_2t(1-t) = T,$$

thus we finally obtain that $C = -4R_1^2R_2^2t^2(1-t)^2 \leq 0$. Since $0 < t < t^-$ or $t^+ < t < 1$, $C < 0$, hence $\lambda^\pm < 0$, and A has four distinct eigenvalues of the form $\pm i\alpha$ and $\pm i\beta$, $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore m_0 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.

When t is equal to $R_2/(2R_2 - R_1), 0, 1, t^-$ or t^+, A has multiple eigenvalues (i.e. is not regular), so its study is not sufficient to conclude anything. We need to investigate these cases separately.

When $t = R_2/(2R_2 - R_1)$. Observe that $t^- < 1/2 < R_2/(2R_2 - R_1) < t^+$, so we expect m_0 to be of focus-focus type for this value of t. One way to check this is to consider the linear combination

$$B = \Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_0) + (2R_2 - R_1)\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{R_2}{R_1} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{R_2}{R_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its characteristic polynomial is equal to $P(X^2)$ where

$$P = X^2 + \frac{2(2R_1 - R_2)}{R_1}X + \frac{R_2^2}{R_1^2}$$

and the discriminant of P is equal to $16(R_1 - R_2)/R_1 < 0$. Therefore, B has four distinct eigenvalues of the form $\pm\alpha \pm i\beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, hence m_0 is non-degenerate of focus-focus type.

When $t = 0$. The linear combination

$$\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_0) + \Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\left(1+\frac{1}{R_1}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 1+\frac{1}{R_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has four distinct eigenvalues $\pm i\left(1+\frac{1}{R_1}\right), \pm i$, so m_0 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.

When $t = 1$. One can check that the linear combination

$$\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_0) + R_1\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{R_1}{R_2} & 0 & -\left(1+\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right) \\ \frac{R_1}{R_2} & 0 & 1+\frac{R_1}{R_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues $\pm i, \pm i\frac{R_1}{R_2}$, so m_0 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.

When $t = t^-$. Let us consider, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the linear combination

$$B(\lambda) = \left(2R_2 + R_1 + 2\sqrt{R_1R_2}\right)\lambda\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_0) + \Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_0);$$

then

$$B(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(a\lambda+b) & 0 & \frac{R_2}{R_1} \\ a\lambda+b & 0 & -\frac{R_2}{R_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -(a\lambda+1) \\ 1 & 0 & a\lambda+1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a = 2R_2 + R_1 + 2\sqrt{R_1R_2}$ and $b = 1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{R_2}{R_1}}$. One can check that the characteristic polynomial of $B(\lambda)$ is $P(X^2)$ with

$$P = X^2 + \left(\frac{2a\lambda R_1(a\lambda+b+1) + b^2R_1 + R_1 - 2R_2}{R_1}\right)X + \frac{\left(a\lambda R_1(a\lambda R_1+b+1) + bR_1 + R_2\right)^2}{R_1^2}.$$

The discriminant of P satisfies

$$\Delta = \frac{(2a\lambda+b+1)^2(R_1 + b^2R_1 - 4R_2 - 2bR_1)}{R_1}.$$

But we have that

$$R_1 + b^2R_1 - 4R_2 - 2bR_1 = R_1 + R_1\left(1 + 4\sqrt{\frac{R_2}{R_1}} + 4\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right) - 4R_2 - 2R_1 - 4\sqrt{R_1R_2} = 0,$$

so $\Delta = 0$. Consequently, $B(\lambda)$ has double eigenvalues for every λ, hence m_0 is degenerate.

When $t = t^+$. As before, we consider, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the linear combination

$$B(\lambda) = \left(2R_2 + R_1 - 2\sqrt{R_1 R_2}\right) \lambda \Omega^{-1} d^2 J(m_0) + \Omega^{-1} d^2 H(m_0);$$

we have that

$$B(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(a\lambda + b) & 0 & \frac{R_2}{R_1} \\ a\lambda + b & 0 & -\frac{R_2}{R_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -(a\lambda + 1) \\ 1 & 0 & a\lambda + 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a = 2R_2 + R_1 - 2\sqrt{R_1 R_2}$ and $b = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{R_2}{R_1}}$. So as above, the characteristic polynomial is of the form $P(X^2)$ with P a polynomial of degree two with discriminant

$$\Delta = \frac{(2a\lambda + b + 1)^2 (R_1 + b^2 R_1 - 4R_2 - 2bR_1)}{R_1}.$$

Again, one can check that $R_1 + b^2 R_1 - 4R_2 - 2bR_1 = 0$, so $\Delta = 0$ and m_0 is degenerate. □

It turns out that the Williamson types of the three other fixed points of F do not depend on the value of the parameter $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 3.6. *For every $t \in [0, 1]$, the critical points m_1, m_2 and m_3 are non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.*

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as the study of m_0; we will give the full details for m_1 and only the crucial ones for m_2, m_3.

The critical point m_1. Near this point, (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) form a local coordinate system and z_1, z_2 satisfy

$$z_1 = -\sqrt{1 - (x_1^2 + y_1^2)}, \quad z_2 = -\sqrt{1 - (x_2^2 + y_2^2)},$$

and m_1 corresponds to $x_1 = y_1 = x_2 = y_2 = 0$. Therefore, we have that

$$J = -(R_1 + R_2) + R_1 \left(\frac{x_1^2 + y_1^2}{2}\right) + R_2 \left(\frac{x_2^2 + y_2^2}{2}\right) + O(3) \tag{4}$$

near m_1, and similarly,

$$H = 2t - 1 + (1 - 2t) \left(\frac{x_1^2 + y_1^2}{2}\right) - t \left(\frac{x_2^2 + y_2^2}{2}\right) + t(x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) + O(3)$$

near m_1. Consequently, the Hessians of J and H at m_1 in the basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $T_{m_1} M$ associated with (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) read

$$d^2 J(m_1) = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d^2 H(m_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2t & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - 2t & 0 & t \\ t & 0 & -t & 0 \\ 0 & t & 0 & -t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $\omega_{m_1} = R_1 dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + R_2 dx_2 \wedge dy_2$ on $T_{m_1}M$, so the matrix of ω in $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -R_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -R_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{2t-1}{R_1} & 0 & -\frac{t}{R_1} \\ \frac{1-2t}{R_1} & 0 & \frac{t}{R_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{t}{R_2} & 0 & \frac{t}{R_2} \\ \frac{t}{R_2} & 0 & -\frac{t}{R_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of $A = \Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_1)$ is $P = Q(X^2)$ with

$$Q = X^2 + \frac{(R_1^2 + 4R_2^2 + 2R_1R_2)t^2 - 4R_2^2t + R_2^2}{R_1^2R_2^2}X^2 + \frac{t^2(t-1)^2}{R_1^2R_2^2}.$$

The discriminant Δ of Q satisfies

$$R_1^4R_2^4\Delta = \left((R_1^2 + 4R_2^2)t^2 + 2R_2(R_1 - 2R_2)t + R_2^2\right)\left((2R_2 + R_1)t - R_2\right)^2.$$

Observe that Δ vanishes when $t = R_2/(R_1 + 2R_2)$; we will treat this case later. Let us introduce the polynomial

$$T = (R_1^2 + 4R_2^2)t^2 + 2R_2(R_1 - 2R_2)t + R_2^2$$

in the variable t; its sign governs the sign of Δ. We have that

$$T = R_2^2(2t-1)^2 + R_1^2t^2 + 2R_1R_2t.$$

Consequently, T is always positive when $t \in [0,1]$, so Δ is always positive when $t \neq R_2/(R_1 + 2R_2)$. So for such values of t, Q has two distinct real roots $\lambda^{\pm} = (-b \pm \sqrt{\Delta})/2$ where

$$b = \frac{(R_1^2 + 4R_2^2 + 2R_1R_2)t^2 - 4R_2^2t + R_2^2}{R_1^2R_2^2} = \frac{R_2^2(2t-1)^2 + (R_1^2 + 2R_1R_2)t^2}{R_1^2R_2^2} > 0.$$

One can check that

$$R_1^4R_2^4(\Delta - b^2) = -4R_1^2R_2^2t^2(1-t)^2 \leq 0,$$

which implies that $\lambda^{\pm} \leq 0$, with strict inequality when $t \notin \{0,1\}$. This yields that when $t \notin \{0, 1, R_2/(R_1 + 2R_2)\}$, A has four eigenvalues of the form $\pm i\alpha, \pm i\beta$, with $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore for such t, m_1 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.

When $t = 0$, the linear combination

$$\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_1) + \Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\left(1+\frac{1}{R_1}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 1+\frac{1}{R_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has four distinct eigenvalues $\pm i\left(1+\frac{1}{R_1}\right), \pm i$, so m_1 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.

When $t = 1$, the linear combination

$$\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_1) + R_1\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{R_1}{R_2} & 0 & \frac{R_1}{R_2}-1 \\ \frac{R_1}{R_2} & 0 & 1-\frac{R_1}{R_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues $\pm i, \pm i\frac{R_1}{R_2}$, so m_1 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.

When $t = R_2/(R_1 + 2R_2)$, we consider the linear combination

$$B = \Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_1) - (R_1 + 2R_2)\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{R_2}{R_1} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{R_2}{R_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its characteristic polynomial is equal to $P(X^2)$ where

$$P = X^2 + \frac{2(2R_1 + R_2)}{R_1}X + \frac{R_2^2}{R_1^2}$$

and the discriminant of P is equal to $16(R_1 + R_2)/R_1 > 0$. Therefore, the eigenvalues of B are of the form $\pm i\alpha$, $\pm i\beta$, $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, hence m_1 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.

The critical point m_2. One can check that

$$\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{R_1} & 0 & -\frac{t}{R_1} \\ \frac{1}{R_1} & 0 & \frac{t}{R_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t}{R_2} & 0 & \frac{t}{R_2} \\ -\frac{t}{R_2} & 0 & -\frac{t}{R_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of $\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_2)$ is equal to $Q(X^2)$ with

$$Q = X^2 + \frac{(R_1^2 - 2R_1R_2)t^2 + R_2^2}{R_1^2R_2^2}X^2 + \frac{t^2(t-1)^2}{R_1^2R_2^2}.$$

The discriminant Δ of Q satisfies

$$R_1^4 R_2^4 \Delta = \left((R_1^2 - 4R_1R_2)t^2 + 2R_1R_2t + R_2^2\right)(R_2 - R_1t)^2 .$$

Let $T = (R_1^2 - 4R_1R_2)t^2 + 2R_1R_2t + R_2^2$; observe that the higher order coefficient $R_1^2 - 4R_1R_2$ is negative since $R_2 > R_1$. The discriminant of T is equal to $16R_1R_2^3 > 0$, hence T has two real roots

$$\tau^{\pm} = \frac{-R_1R_2 \pm 2R_2\sqrt{R_1R_2}}{R_1^2 - 4R_1R_2}.$$

On the one hand, we have that

$$\tau^+ = \frac{R_2(R_1 - 2\sqrt{R_1R_2})}{R_1(4R_2 - R_1)} \leq \frac{R_2(R_1 - 2R_1)}{R_1(4R_2 - R_1)} < 0,$$

while on the other hand,

$$\tau^- = \frac{R_2^2(R_1^2 - 4R_1R_2)}{(4R_1R_2 - R_1^2)} = \frac{R_2}{2\sqrt{R_1R_2} - R_1}.$$

But $R_2 - 2\sqrt{R_1R_2} + R_1 = (\sqrt{R_2} - \sqrt{R_1})^2 > 0$, thus $\tau^- > 1$. Consequently, $T > 0$ on $[0, 1]$, therefore $\Delta > 0$ on this interval. The two roots of Q are $\lambda^{\pm} = (-b \pm \sqrt{\Delta})/2$ with

$$b = \frac{(R_1^2 - 2R_1R_2)t^2 + R_2^2}{R_1^2R_2^2} \geq \frac{(R_1 - R_2)^2t^2}{R_1^2R_2^2} > 0.$$

One can check that

$$R_1^4R_2^4(\Delta - b^2) = -4R_1^2R_2^2t^2(1 - t)^2 \leq 0,$$

which implies that $\lambda^{\pm} \leq 0$, and the inequality is strict when $t \notin \{0, 1\}$. This yields that when $t \notin \{0, 1\}$, A has four eigenvalues of the form $\pm i\alpha, \pm i\beta$, with $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence for such t, m_2 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.

When $t = 0$, the linear combination

$$\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_2) + R_1\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues $\pm i, \pm 2i$, so m_2 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.

When $t = 1$, the linear combination

$$\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_2) - R_1\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{R_1}{R_2} & 0 & -1 - \frac{R_1}{R_2} \\ \frac{R_1}{R_2} & 0 & 1 + \frac{R_1}{R_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues $\pm i, \pm i\frac{R_1}{R_2}$, so m_2 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type.

The critical point m_3. We have that

$$\Omega^{-1}d^2J(m_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{R_1} & 0 & \frac{t}{R_1} \\ \frac{1}{R_1} & 0 & -\frac{t}{R_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t}{R_2} & 0 & -\frac{t}{R_2} \\ -\frac{t}{R_2} & 0 & \frac{t}{R_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of $\Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_3)$ is equal to $Q(X^2)$ with

$$Q = X^2 + \frac{(R_1^2 + 2R_1R_2)t^2 + R_2^2}{R_1^2R_2^2}X^2 + \frac{t^2(t-1)^2}{R_1^2R_2^2}.$$

The discriminant Δ of Q satisfies

$$R_1^4R_2^4\Delta = \left((R_1t - R_2)^2 + 4R_1R_2t^2\right)(R_2 + R_1t)^2 > 0.$$

Therefore Q has two real roots $\lambda^{\pm} = (-b \pm \sqrt{\Delta})/2$ with

$$b = \frac{(R_1^2 + 2R_1R_2)t^2 + R_2^2}{R_1^2R_2^2} > 0.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$R_1^4R_2^4(\Delta - b^2) = -4R_1^2R_2^2t^2(1-t)^2 \leq 0,$$

which implies that $\lambda^{\pm} \leq 0$, and the inequality is strict when $t \notin \{0, 1\}$. This yields that when $t \notin \{0, 1\}$, A has four eigenvalues of the form $\pm i\alpha, \pm i\beta$, with $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence for such t, m_3 is non-degenerate of elliptic-elliptic type. One can check that it is still the case for $t = 0, 1$. □

3.2 Critical points of corank one

We now look for the points where dJ, dH are linearly dependent but $dF \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.7. *When $t \notin \{0, 1\}$, the critical points of corank one of F are the points $(x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ for which there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \frac{1-t}{R_1}\}$ such that*

$$(x_2, y_2) = \frac{1 - t - R_1\lambda}{R_2\lambda}(x_1, y_1), \quad z_1 = \frac{(t^2 + R_2^2\lambda^2)(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2 - t^2R_2^2\lambda^2}{2tR_2\lambda(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2}$$

and

$$z_2 = \frac{(t^2 - R_2^2\lambda^2)(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2 - t^2R_2^2\lambda^2}{2tR_2^2\lambda^2(1 - t - R_1\lambda)},$$

and which are different from the points m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3 introduced earlier. When $t = 1$, the critical points of corank one are either the points $(x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \backslash \{m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ such that

$$(x_2, y_2, z_2) = \pm(x_1, y_1, z_1)$$

or those for which there exists $\lambda \neq 0$ such that

$$(x_2, y_2) = -\frac{R_1}{R_2}(x_1, y_1), \quad z_1 = \frac{R_1^2 - R_2^2 + R_1^2 R_2^2 \lambda^2}{2R_1^2 R_2 \lambda}, \quad z_2 = \frac{R_2^2 - R_1^2 + R_1^2 R_2^2 \lambda^2}{2R_1 R_2^2 \lambda}.$$

When $t = 0$, the critical points of corank one of F are the points of the form $(0, 0, \pm 1, x_2, y_2, z_2)$ with $(x_2, y_2, z_2) \neq (0, 0, \pm 1)$ or of the form $(x_1, y_1, z_1, 0, 0, \pm 1)$ with $(x_1, y_1, z_1) \neq (0, 0, \pm 1)$.

Proof. If m is a critical point of corank one, there exist $\lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\nabla H(m) = \lambda \nabla J(m) + \mu_1 \nabla f_1(m) + \mu_2 \nabla f_2(m)$$

with $f_i(x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2) = x_i^2 + y_i^2 + z_i^2$, $i = 1, 2$. We obtain the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} t x_2 = 2\mu_1 x_1 \\ t y_2 = 2\mu_1 y_1 \\ 1 - t + t z_2 = \lambda R_1 + 2\mu_1 z_1 \\ t x_1 = 2\mu_2 x_2 \\ t y_1 = 2\mu_2 y_2 \\ t z_1 = \lambda R_2 + 2\mu_2 z_2 \end{cases}.$$

We start with the case $t \notin \{0, 1\}$. If $\mu_1 = 0$, we immediately get that $x_2 = y_2 = 0$, which implies that $x_1 = y_1 = 0$. Therefore $z_1, z_2 \in \{-1, 1\}$, and we find the critical points of corank two, see the previous section. So we may assume that $\mu_1 \neq 0$, and we may also assume that $x_1 \neq 0$ or $y_1 \neq 0$, because otherwise we find the critical points of corank two again. Then, comparing either the first and fourth equations or the second and fifth equation, we get that

$$\mu_2 = \frac{t^2}{4\mu_1}.$$

Thus, combining the third and last equations, we obtain that

$$1 - t + t z_2 = \lambda R_1 + \frac{2\lambda R_2 \mu_1}{t} + t z_2$$

which yields $\lambda \neq 0$ (since $t \neq 1$) and

$$\mu_1 = \frac{t(1 - t - R_1 \lambda)}{2R_2 \lambda}.$$

In particular, $1 - t - R_1\lambda \neq 0$. Now, a straightforward computation gives

$$z_2 = \frac{(1 - t - R_1\lambda)(tz_1 - R_2\lambda)}{tR_2\lambda}.$$

Thanks to the above results, the equality $1 = x_2^2 + y_2^2 + z_2^2$ reads

$$1 = \frac{(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2}{t^2R_2^2\lambda^2}\left(t^2(x_1^2 + y_1^2) + (tz_1 - R_2\lambda)^2\right).$$

Using the fact that $x_1^2 + y_1^2 + z_1^2 = 1$, this allows us to derive the equality

$$z_1 = \frac{(t^2 + R_2^2\lambda^2)(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2 - t^2R_2^2\lambda^2}{2tR_2\lambda(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2}.$$

When $t = 1$, we still find the same points when $\lambda \neq 0$, but the difference is that we can now have $\lambda = 0$. In this case we get that $(x_2, y_2, z_2) = 2\mu_1(x_1, y_1, z_1)$, hence $(x_2, y_2, z_2) = \pm(x_1, y_1, z_1)$.

Now, in the case where $t = 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} 0 = 2\mu_1 x_1 \\ 0 = 2\mu_1 y_1 \\ 1 = \lambda R_1 + 2\mu_1 z_1 \\ 0 = 2\mu_2 x_2 \\ 0 = 2\mu_2 y_2 \\ 0 = \lambda R_2 + 2\mu_2 z_2 \end{cases}.$$

The last three equations imply that $\lambda^2 R_2^2 = 4\mu_2^2$. Hence, if $\mu_2 = 0$, we also have that $\lambda = 0$ and the first three equations imply that $\mu_1 \neq 0$, so $x_1 = 0 = y_1$, and $z_1 = \pm 1$. Now, if $\mu_2 \neq 0$, we immediately get that $x_2 = 0 = y_2$ and $z_2 = \pm 1$. But we already know that when $z_1 = \pm 1$ and $z_2 = \pm 1$, we get the critical points of maximal corank. □

3.3 Image of the momentum map

We can now use the previous results to describe the image of F. For $0 < t \leq 1$, we define two functions $f, g : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \frac{1-t}{R_1}\} \to \mathbb{R}$ by the formulas

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{(t^2 + R_2^2\lambda^2)(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2 - t^2R_2^2\lambda^2}{2tR_2\lambda(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2}, \quad g(\lambda) = \frac{(t^2 - R_2^2\lambda^2)(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2 - t^2R_2^2\lambda^2}{2tR_2^2\lambda^2(1 - t - R_1\lambda)}.$$

We saw that the critical points of corank one of F are those for which there exists λ such that $z_1 = f(\lambda)$ and $z_2 = g(\lambda)$. Therefore, we want to know for which values of λ the numbers $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ both belong to $[-1, 1]$; in other words, we want to describe $f^{-1}([-1, 1]) \cap g^{-1}([-1, 1])$. This is the purpose of the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *For $0 < t < 1$, let us define the numbers*

$$\lambda_1^{\pm} = \frac{(1-2t)R_2 - tR_1 \pm \sqrt{((1-2t)R_2 - tR_1)^2 + 4R_1R_2t(1-t)}}{2R_1R_2},$$

$$\lambda_2^{\pm} = \frac{R_2 - tR_1 \pm \sqrt{(R_2 - tR_1)^2 + 4R_1R_2t(1-t)}}{2R_1R_2},$$

and

$$\lambda_3^{\pm} = \frac{tR_1 + R_2 \pm \sqrt{(R_2 - tR_1)^2 + 4t^2R_1R_2}}{2R_1R_2}.$$

Then, for such t, one has $\lambda_1^- < \lambda_2^- < 0 < \lambda_3^- < \lambda_1^+ < \lambda_2^+ < \lambda_3^+$. Moreover, for $t^- \le t \le t^+$,

$$f^{-1}([-1,1]) = [\lambda_1^-, \lambda_2^-] \cup [\lambda_3^-, \lambda_1^+] \cup [\lambda_2^+, \lambda_3^+].$$

Now, for $0 < t < t^-$ or $t^+ < t < 1$, let

$$\lambda_0^{\pm} = \frac{(1-2t)R_2 + tR_1 \pm \sqrt{(R_1^2 + 4R_2^2)t^2 - 2R_2(R_1 + 2R_2)t + R_2^2}}{2R_1R_2}$$

Then for $0 < t < t^-$, one has $\lambda_1^- < \lambda_2^- < 0 < \lambda_3^- < \lambda_0^- < \lambda_0^+ < \lambda_1^+ < \lambda_2^+ < \lambda_3^+$ and

$$f^{-1}([-1,1]) = [\lambda_1^-, \lambda_2^-] \cup [\lambda_3^-, \lambda_0^-] \cup [\lambda_0^+, \lambda_1^+] \cup [\lambda_2^+, \lambda_3^+].$$

For $t^+ < t < 1$, one has $\lambda_1^- < \lambda_0^- < \lambda_0^+ < \lambda_2^- < 0 < \lambda_3^- < \lambda_1^+ < \lambda_2^+ < \lambda_3^+$ and

$$f^{-1}([-1,1]) = [\lambda_1^-, \lambda_0^-] \cup [\lambda_0^+, \lambda_2^-] \cup [\lambda_3^-, \lambda_1^+] \cup [\lambda_2^+, \lambda_3^+].$$

Finally, for $t = 1$,

$$f^{-1}([-1,1]) = \left[-\frac{1}{R_2} - \frac{1}{R_1}, \frac{1}{R_2} - \frac{1}{R_1}\right] \cup \left[-\frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_1}, \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_1}\right].$$

Furthermore, in all the cases above, $g^{-1}([-1,1]) = f^{-1}([-1,1])$.

Proof. We start by the case $0 < t < 1$. Observe that

$$f(\lambda) + 1 = \frac{(t + R_2\lambda)^2(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2 - t^2R_2^2\lambda^2}{2tR_2\lambda(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2},$$

which yields

$$f(\lambda) + 1 = \frac{((t + R_2\lambda)(1 - t - R_1\lambda) - tR_2\lambda)\,((t + R_2\lambda)(1 - t - R_1\lambda) + tR_2\lambda)}{2tR_2\lambda(1 - t - R_1\lambda)^2}$$

Therefore,

$$f(\lambda) + 1 = \frac{P(\lambda)Q(\lambda)}{2tR_2\lambda(1-t-R_1\lambda)^2}$$

where

$$P(\lambda) = (t + R_2\lambda)(1 - t - R_1\lambda) - tR_2\lambda, \quad Q(\lambda) = (t + R_2\lambda)(1 - t - R_1\lambda) + tR_2\lambda.$$

Expanding P, we get that

$$P(\lambda) = -R_1R_2\lambda^2 + ((1-2t)R_2 - tR_1)\lambda + t(1-t);$$

its discriminant is equal to $((1-2t)R_2 - tR_1)^2 + 4R_1R_2t(1-t) > 0$. Therefore, P has two real roots

$$\lambda_1^{\pm} = \frac{(1-2t)R_2 - tR_1 \pm \sqrt{((1-2t)R_2 - tR_1)^2 + 4R_1R_2t(1-t)}}{2R_1R_2}.$$

Note that $\lambda_1^- < 0 < \lambda_1^+$, and that $P(\lambda) \geq 0$ when $\lambda_1^- \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_1^+$ and $P(\lambda) < 0$ otherwise. Similarly, one finds that Q also has two real roots

$$\lambda_2^{\pm} = \frac{R_2 - tR_1 \pm \sqrt{(R_2 - tR_1)^2 + 4R_1R_2t(1-t)}}{2R_1R_2}$$

with $\lambda_2^- < 0 < \lambda_2^+$, $Q(\lambda) \geq 0$ when $\lambda_2^- \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_2^+$ and $Q(\lambda) < 0$ otherwise. A similar computation shows that

$$f(\lambda) - 1 = \frac{S(\lambda)T(\lambda)}{2tR_2\lambda(1-t-R_1\lambda)^2}$$

where S and T are the polynomials

$$S(\lambda) = (t - R_2\lambda)(1 - t - R_1\lambda) - tR_2\lambda, \quad T(\lambda) = (t - R_2\lambda)(1 - t - R_1\lambda) + tR_2\lambda.$$

One finds that S has two real roots

$$\lambda_3^{\pm} = \frac{tR_1 + R_2 \pm \sqrt{(R_2 - tR_1)^2 + 4t^2R_1R_2}}{2R_1R_2}$$

with $0 < \lambda_3^- < \lambda_3^+$, that $S(\lambda) \leq 0$ when $\lambda_3^- \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_3^+$ and that $S(\lambda) > 0$ otherwise. The case of T is more interesting; its discriminant is equal to

$$\Delta = (R_1^2 + 4R_2^2)t^2 - 2R_2(R_1 + 2R_2)t + R_2^2;$$

we already saw in the proof of Proposition 3.5 that $\Delta \leq 0$ for $t^- \leq t \leq t^+$ and $\Delta > 0$ otherwise. Therefore, T has no real root when $t^- < t < t^+$, has two real roots

$$\lambda_0^{\pm} = \frac{(1-2t)R_2 + tR_1 \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2R_1R_2}$$

when $t < t^-$ or $t > t^+$, and one real root λ_0 when $t \in \{t^-, t^+\}$. Obviously $\lambda_0^- \leq \lambda_0^+$ with equality when $t \in \{t^-, t^+\}$. Moreover, $T(\lambda) \geq 0$ for $t^- \leq t \leq t^+$, and for other values of t we have that $T(\lambda) \leq 0$ when $\lambda_0^- \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_0^+$, $T(\lambda) > 0$ otherwise. When $t < t^-$, $(1-2t)R_2 + tR_1 > 0$ since $t^- \leq 1/2$, and one readily checks that

$$((1-2t)R_2 + tR_1)^2 - \Delta = 4R_1R_2t(1-t) > 0,$$

thus $\lambda_0^- > 0$. When $t > t^+$, we have that

$$(2t-1)R_2 - tR_1 > 2t\left(2\sqrt{R_1R_2} - R_1\right) > 0.$$

Since $((2t-1)R_2 - tR_1)^2 - \Delta = 4R_1R_2t(1-t) > 0$, we obtain that $(2t-1)R_2 - tR_1 > \sqrt{\Delta}$, therefore $\lambda_0^+ < 0$.

In order to be able to compute the signs of $f(\lambda)+1$ and $f(\lambda)-1$ everywhere, we still need to compare all the $\lambda_i^\pm$. The claim follows from careful computations; let us show for instance that $\lambda_2^- > \lambda_1^-$, the other cases involving similar methods. First, observe that we have that $2R_1R_2(\lambda_2^- - \lambda_1^-) = \sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} + 2tR_2$ with

$$a = ((1-2t)R_2 - tR_1)^2 + 4R_1R_2t(1-t), \quad b = (R_2 - tR_1)^2 + 4R_1R_2t(1-t).$$

One readily checks that

$$a = b + 4t^2R_2^2 - 4tR_2(R_2 - tR_1).$$

This implies that

$$\left(\sqrt{a} + 2tR_2\right)^2 - b = 4tR_2\left(2tR_2 + \sqrt{a} - R_2 + tR_1\right).$$

We will prove that the right hand side of this equality is positive, which will imply that $\lambda_2^- - \lambda_1^- > 0$. If $R_2 - tR_1 - 2tR_2 \leq 0$, this is obvious. Otherwise, we write

$$a - (R_2 - tR_1 - 2tR_2)^2 = a - (R_2 - tR_1)^2 + 4tR_2(R_2 - tR_1) - 4t^2R_2^2,$$

which yields

$$a - (R_2 - tR_1 - 2tR_2)^2 = b - (R_2 - tR_1)^2 = 4R_1R_2t(1-t) > 0.$$

Consequently, $\sqrt{a} > R_2 - tR_1 - 2tR_2$, and the result follows.

When $t = 1$, we have that

$$f(\lambda) + 1 = \frac{R_1^2 - R_2^2 + R_1^2R_2^2\lambda^2 + 2R_1^2R_2\lambda}{2R_1^2R_2\lambda};$$

the polynomial on the numerator has two roots $-\frac{1}{R_2} \pm \frac{1}{R_1}$. Similarly,

$$f(\lambda) - 1 = \frac{R_1^2 - R_2^2 + R_1^2R_2^2\lambda^2 - 2R_1^2R_2\lambda}{2R_1^2R_2\lambda}$$

and the numerator has two roots $\frac{1}{R_2} \pm \frac{1}{R_1}$. We obtain the result by looking at the signs of $f(\lambda)+1$ and $f(\lambda)-1$ with respect to the region where λ belongs.

We leave the verification of the last statement to the reader. Actually, the study of g is not too difficult now because we have that

$$g(\lambda)+1 = \frac{P(\lambda)T(\lambda)}{2tR_2^2\lambda^2(1-t-R_1\lambda)}, \quad g(\lambda)-1 = \frac{Q(\lambda)S(\lambda)}{2tR_2^2\lambda^2(1-t-R_1\lambda)}$$

where P, Q, S, T are the polynomials introduced above. Another useful observation is that $\lambda_1^+ < \frac{1-t}{R_1} < \lambda_2^+$ when $0 < t < 1$. □

Proposition 3.9. *The image of F can be described as follows:*

- *when $t = 0$, $F(M)$ is the compact domain enclosed by the parallelogram with vertices at $(-(R_1+R_2), -1), (R_1-R_2, 1), (R_1+R_2, 1)$ and $(R_2-R_1, -1)$,*
- *when $t = 1$, $F(M)$ is the compact domain enclosed by the closed curve obtained as the union of the four following curves:*
  1. *the horizontal segment $H = 1$, $-(R_1+R_2) \leq J \leq R_1+R_2$,*
  2. *the horizontal segment $H = -1$, $R_1-R_2 \leq J \leq R_2-R_1$,*
  3. *the parametrized curve $J = R_1R_2\lambda, H = \frac{R_1^2R_2^2\lambda^2-R_1^2-R_2^2}{2R_1R_2}$, $-\frac{1}{R_2}-\frac{1}{R_1} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{R_2}-\frac{1}{R_1}$,*
  4. *the parametrized curve $J = R_1R_2\lambda, H = \frac{R_1^2R_2^2\lambda^2-R_1^2-R_2^2}{2R_1R_2}$, $-\frac{1}{R_2}+\frac{1}{R_1} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{R_2}+\frac{1}{R_1}$,*
- *when $0 < t < 1$, the boundary of $F(M)$ consists of the points $(J_\lambda, H_\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with*

$$J_\lambda = \frac{t(1-t)f(\lambda) - R_2(1-t)\lambda + R_1R_2\lambda^2}{t\lambda}, \quad H_\lambda = \frac{t(1-t) - tR_1\lambda + R_1R_2\lambda^2 f(\lambda)}{R_2\lambda}$$

  for $\lambda \in f^{-1}([-1,1])$. It is a closed continuous curve $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and $F(M)$ is the compact domain enclosed by $\mathcal{C}$.

One can see what this image looks like in Figure 5. Of course, the first part of the proposition is not surprising, since it is easy to check that the system is toric when $t = 0$, hence the image of the momentum map is a convex polygon [1, 10]. Observe also that the results of this section are consistent with what we found when studying the critical points of maximal corank of F. Indeed, when $t^- < t < t^+$, there are only three elliptic-elliptic points (corresponding to corners on the boundary of $F(M)$) and the boundary of $F(M)$ is the union of three parametrized curves, while for $0 \leq t < t^-$ and $t^+ < t \leq 1$ there are four elliptic-elliptic points and the boundary of $F(M)$ is the union of four parametrized curves.

Proof. We leave the cases $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ to the reader and assume that $t \notin \{0, 1\}$. As the image of a compact, connected manifold by a continuous function, $F(M)$ is compact and

connected. We saw in the proof of Lemma 3.4 that the only critical points of J are m_0, m_1, m_2 and m_3. Hence, for $E \neq J(m_i)$, the level set $J^{-1}(E)$ is a smooth compact manifold, therefore H admits a minimum and a maximum on $J^{-1}(E)$. The critical points of H on $J^{-1}(E)$ are the critical points of corank one of F, thus they are given by Proposition 3.7. A straightforward computation shows that their images by J and H are the expressions J_λ and H_λ written in the statement of the proposition, and for a given $E \neq J(m_i)$, there are exactly two values of H_λ such that $J_\lambda = E$, the minimum and the maximum mentioned above. □

Definition 3.10. An integrable system $G = (g_1, g_2)$ on a compact connected four dimensional symplectic manifold N is said to be of *toric type* if there exists an effective Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^2$-action on N whose momentum map is of the form $f \circ G$, where f is a local diffeomorphism from $G(N)$ to its image.

Corollary 3.11. *The system $F = (J, H)$ of coupled angular momenta forms an integrable system of toric type if $t < t^-$ or $t > t^+$, and a semitoric system with one focus-focus singularity if $t^- < t < t^+$. It is even toric when $t = 0$.*

Proof. We already saw that J and H Poisson commute, and that the critical points of corank two of F are all non-degenerate. This is also true for its critical points of corank one, which are of elliptic-transverse type by the above considerations. Hence (J, H) is an integrable system; since no singularity has hyperbolic components, it is almost-toric. It is easy to check that the Hamiltonian flow of J at time s corresponds to the rotation of angle s around the z_1-axis in the first factor and the z_2-axis in the second factor, thus J generates an effective circle action, so the system is semitoric. By Corollary 3.5 in [24], it is of toric type for $0 \leq t < t^-$ and $t^+ < t \leq 1$ because it has no focus-focus singularity. □

4 Symplectic invariants in the $t = 1/2$ case

It follows from the previous study that $F = (J, H)$ is always a simple semitoric system with one focus-focus value when $t = 1/2$, since we always have $t^- < 1/2 < t^+$. As already mentioned earlier, the symplectic classification of these systems has been achieved by the second author and Vũ Ngọc [16, 17]; it involves five invariants that we quickly describe here for the sake of completeness.

4.1 Description of the invariants

As it is the case in our example, we will assume that (M, ω) is a compact connected symplectic manifold; in the non-compact case, the polygonal invariant is not exactly a polygon in the usual sense. Let $F = (J, H)$ be a simple semitoric system on (M, ω). The first invariant is extremely simple; it is the number m_f of focus-focus critical values of F, which in our case is equal to one. Consequently, and since the notation becomes heavy when there is more than one focus-focus critical value, we will only state the definitions of the other invariants in the case $m_f = 1$.

The Taylor series invariant. Let m_0 be the unique critical point of F of focus-focus type and let $c_0 = F(m_0)$ be the corresponding critical value. Endow $\mathbb{R}^4$ with coordinates $(\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2, \hat{\xi}_1, \hat{\xi}_2)$ and symplectic form $d\hat{\xi}_1 \wedge d\hat{u}_1 + d\hat{\xi}_2 \wedge d\hat{u}_2$. It follows from Eliasson's normal form theorem [8] that there exist neighborhoods U of m_0 in M and V of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^4$, a local symplectomorphism $\phi : V \to U$ sending the origin to m_0, and a local diffeomorphism $g : V \to V$ such that $F \circ \phi = g \circ q$, where the components of q satisfy

$$q_1 = \hat{u}_1\hat{\xi}_2 - \hat{u}_2\hat{\xi}_1, \quad q_2 = \hat{u}_1\hat{\xi}_1 + \hat{u}_2\hat{\xi}_2. \tag{5}$$

Hence there exists a global momentum map G for the singular foliation defined by F which agrees with $q \circ \phi^{-1}$ on U. Let us write $G = (g_1, g_2)$ and for $z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$, $\Lambda_z = G^{-1}(z)$. It follows from the above normal form that near m_0, the trajectories of the Hamiltonian flow of g_1 must be periodic, with primitive period 2π. For $z \neq 0$, let A be a point on Λ_z, and define the quantity $\tau_2(z) > 0$ as the smallest positive time it takes the Hamiltonian flow of g_2 to meet the trajectory of the Hamiltonian flow of g_1 passing through A. Let $\tau_1(z) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ be the time that it takes to go back to A from this meeting point following the flow of g_1. Observe that the two numbers $\tau_1(z), \tau_2(z)$ do not depend on the choice of $A \in \Lambda_z$. Now, let log be some determination of the complex logarithm. It was proved in [23, Proposition 3.1] that σ_1, σ_2 defined as

$$\sigma_1(z) = \tau_1(z) - \Im(\log z), \quad \sigma_2(z) = \tau_2(z) + \Re(\log z)$$

extend to smooth single-valued functions in a neighborhood of $z = 0$ and that the differential form $\sigma = \sigma_1 dz_1 + \sigma_2 dz_2$ is closed. We may, and will, choose the lift of τ_2 to $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\sigma_2(0)$ belongs to $[0, 2\pi)$. Let S be the unique smooth function defined near the origin in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $dS = \sigma$ and $S(0,0) = 0$; the *Taylor series invariant* $S^\infty \in \mathbb{R}[[X, Y]]$ is the Taylor expansion of S at the origin. It is of the form $S^\infty = a_1 X + a_2 Y + \sum_{i+j>1} b_{ij} X^i Y^j$.

The polygonal invariant. Let us equip the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ with its standard affine structure and orientation. Let $\mathcal{T} \subset GL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ be the subgroup of integral-affine transformations leaving a vertical line invariant; in other words, $\mathcal{T}$ consists of integral-affine transformations obtained by composing a vertical translation with a transformation of the form T^k, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, with

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $\mathrm{Vert}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the set of vertical lines in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and choose $\ell \in \mathrm{Vert}(\mathbb{R}^2)$; it divides the plane into two half-planes, H^{left} on the left and H^{right} on the right. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Fix an origin in ℓ, and define the piecewise integral-affine transformation $t^n_\ell : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ as the identity on H^{left} and as T^n on H^{right}. Now, let ℓ_0 be the vertical line passing through c_0. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{-1, 1\}$; for $\epsilon \in \mathcal{G}$, let $\ell_0^\epsilon \subset \ell_0$ be the vertical half-line starting at c_0 and extending upwards if $\epsilon = 1$ and downwards if $\epsilon = -1$. From this data, one can construct a rational convex polygon Δ, that is

a convex polygon whose edges are directed along vectors with rational coefficients, associated with F, as follows. Let $B = F(M)$ and let B_r be the set of regular values of F; B_r is endowed with an integral-affine structure coming from action variables.

Theorem 4.1 ([24, Theorem 3.8])**.** *For every $\epsilon \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists a homeomorphism $f = f_\epsilon : B \to \Delta = f(B) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that*

- *$f_{|B\setminus \ell_0^\epsilon}$ is a diffeomorphism to its image,*
- *$f_{|B_r\setminus \ell_0^\epsilon}$ sends the integral affine structure of B_r to the standard integral affine structure of $\mathbb{R}^2$,*
- *f preserves J, i.e. is of the form $f(x, y) = (x, f_2(x, y))$,*
- *Δ is a rational convex polygon.*

This function f satisfies another property that we do not state here.

Such a Δ is called a *generalized toric moment polygon* for (M, ω, F), and $\mu = f \circ F$ is called a *generalized toric momentum map* for (M, ω, F). This polygon Δ, however, is not yet the invariant that we are trying to define since it is highly non unique. It depends on the choice of

- an initial set of action variables f_0 near a regular Liouville torus; if we choose a different one, f will be composed on the left with an element τ of $\mathcal{T}$, and Δ will become $\tau(\Delta)$,
- the choice of $\epsilon \in \mathcal{G}$; if we choose δ instead of ϵ, f will be composed on the left by $t^n_{\ell_0}$ with $n = (\epsilon - \delta)/2$, and Δ will become $t^n_{\ell_0}(\Delta)$.

A *weighted polygon* is a triple of the form $\Delta_{\text{weight}} = (\Delta, \ell_\lambda, \epsilon)$ where Δ is a rational convex polygon, ℓ_λ is the vertical line $\{x = \lambda\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{G}$. The group $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{T}$ acts on the set of weighted polygons via the formula

$$(\delta, \tau) \cdot (\Delta, \ell_\lambda, \epsilon) = \left(t^n_{\ell_\lambda}(\tau(\Delta)), \delta\epsilon\right)$$

with $n = (\epsilon - \delta)/2$. The $\mathcal{G}$ part of this action may not preserve the convexity of Δ, but when Δ is a generalized toric moment polygon for a semitoric system, it does. Hence we say that a weighted polygon $(\Delta, \ell_\lambda, \epsilon)$ is *admissible* when the convexity of Δ is preserved by the $\mathcal{G}$-action, and we define $\mathcal{W}\text{Polyg}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as the set of all admissible weighted polygons. Let $(\Delta, \ell_0, \epsilon)$ be an admissible weighted polygon obtained as in the above theorem; then the *polygonal invariant* of (M, ω, F) is the $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{T})$-orbit

$$(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{T}) \cdot (\Delta, \ell_0, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{W}\text{Polyg}(\mathbb{R}^2)/(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{T}).$$

The height invariant. Let μ be a generalized toric momentum map for (M, ω, F), and let $\Delta = \mu(M)$ be the associated generalized toric moment polygon. Then $\mu(m_0)$ belongs to the intersection of ℓ_0 with the interior of Δ. The vertical distance

$$h = \pi_2(\mu(m_0)) - \min_{p \in \Delta \cap \ell_0} \pi_2(p),$$

where $\pi_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the projection to the second factor, does not depend on the choice of μ, and is called the *height invariant* of (M, ω, F). In fact, this height invariant has the following geometric interpretation, which we will use to compute it. Let $M^{\mathrm{red}} = J^{-1}(J(m_0))/S^1$ be the reduced manifold with respect to the S^1-action generated by J; it is endowed with a canonical symplectic form ω^{red}. The height invariant h is equal to the volume of $\{H < H(m_0)\}$ in M^{red} (this makes sense because H is invariant under the S^1-action), with respect to $|\omega^{\mathrm{red}}|/2\pi$.

The twisting index invariant. We only sketch the description of the twisting index invariant, and refer the reader to [16, Section 5.2] for more details. The key point is the existence of a privileged toric momentum map ν in a neighborhood of m_0. Now, let μ be a generalized toric momentum map for (M, ω, F), and let $(\Delta, \ell_0, \epsilon)$ be the corresponding weighted polygon; there exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mu = T^k \nu$ near m_0. This integer k is called the twisting index of $(\Delta, \ell_0, \epsilon)$. If we compose μ on the left by an affine transformation $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ with linear part T^r, the twisting index becomes $k + r$. So we consider the following action of $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{T}$ on $\mathcal{W}\mathrm{Polyg}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(\delta, \tau) \cdot (\Delta, \ell_\lambda, \epsilon, k) = (t_n(\tau(\Delta)), \ell_\lambda, \delta\epsilon, k + r)$$

where $n = (\epsilon - \delta)/2$ and T_r is the linear part of τ. Now let $(\Delta, \ell_0, \epsilon)$ be a weighted polygon for (M, ω, F) and let k be its twisting index; the *twisting index invariant* of (M, ω, F) is the $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{T})$-orbit

$$(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{T}) \cdot (\Delta, \ell_0, \epsilon, k) \in \left(\mathcal{W}\mathrm{Polyg}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbb{Z}\right) / (\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{T})$$

Consequently, one can always find a weighted polygon for which the twisting index is zero; nevertheless, one should keep in mind that fixing the representative Δ fixes the twisting index.

Coming back to our problem, our goal is to compute some of these invariants for the system (J, H) of coupled angular momenta when $t = 1/2$:

$$J = R_1 z_1 + R_2 z_2, \quad H = \frac{1}{2}\left(z_1 + x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 + z_1 z_2\right). \tag{6}$$

Actually, we will only compute the first two terms of the Taylor series invariants, and for some fixed value of the pair (R_1, R_2); however, we will describe the method carefully so that one can compute these for other values of (R_1, R_2).

4.2 Parametrization of the singular fiber

We start by parametrizing the singular fiber $F^{-1}(c_0)$, which is given by the set of points $(x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2) \in M$ such that

$$R_1 z_1 + R_2 z_2 = R_1 - R_2, \quad z_1 + x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 + z_1 z_2 = 0.$$

Observe that neither $\{(0,0,-1)\} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ nor $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \{(0,0,1)\}$ intersects $F^{-1}(c_0)$. Indeed, the quantity $H(0,0,-1,x_2,y_2,z_2) = -(1+z_2)/2$ vanishes if and only if $z_2 = -1$, but in this case $J(0,0,-1,x_2,y_2,z_2) = -(R_1+R_2) \neq R_1 - R_2$; similarly, if $H(x_1,y_1,z_1,0,0,1) = z_1$ vanishes, then $J(x_1,y_1,z_1,0,0,1) = R_2 \neq R_1 - R_2$. Therefore, we can identify $F^{-1}(c_0)$ with a subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$ by means of stereographic projections, from the south pole to the equatorial plane on the first factor, and from the north pole to the equatorial plane on the second factor. In other words, we consider the diffeomorphisms

$$\begin{cases} \pi_S : \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{(0,0,-1)\} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (x,y,z) \mapsto \frac{x-iy}{1+z} \\ \pi_N : \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{(0,0,1)\} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (x,y,z) \mapsto \frac{x+iy}{1-z} \end{cases}.$$

Then we get a diffeomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Psi : \left(\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{(0,0,-1)\}\right) \times \left(\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{(0,0,1)\}\right) \subset M & \to & \mathbb{C}^2 \\ (x_1,y_1,z_1,x_2,y_2,z_2) & \mapsto & (\pi_S(x_1,y_1,z_1), \pi_N(x_2,y_2,z_2)). \end{array}$$

We want to describe the image $\Lambda_0 := \Psi(F^{-1}(c_0))$ of the singular fiber; note that one has $\Psi(m_0) = (0,0)$. Now, let $(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$; it is standard that

$$\pi_S^{-1}(z) = \frac{1}{1+|z|^2}\left(2\Re z, -2\Im z, 1-|z|^2\right), \quad \pi_N^{-1}(w) = \frac{1}{1+|w|^2}\left(2\Re w, 2\Im w, |w|^2 - 1\right).$$

Consequently, in view of Equation (6), (z,w) belongs to Λ_0 if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{R_1(1-|z|^2)}{1+|z|^2} + \frac{R_2(|w|^2-1)}{1+|w|^2} = R_1 - R_2 \\ (1-|z|^2)(1+|w|^2) + 4\Re z \Re w - 4\Im z \Im w + (1-|z|^2)(|w|^2-1) = 0 \end{cases}.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} R_1(1-|z|^2)(1+|w|^2) + R_2(|w|^2-1)(1+|z|^2) = (R_1-R_2)(1+|z|^2)(1+|w|^2) \\ 2(1-|z|^2)|w|^2 + 4\Re(zw) = 0 \end{cases},$$

and we finally obtain that (z,w) belongs to Λ_0 if and only if

$$\begin{cases} R_2(1+|z|^2)|w|^2 = R_1|z|^2(1+|w|^2) \\ (1-|z|^2)|w|^2 + 2\Re(zw) = 0 \end{cases}. \tag{7}$$

We will parametrize Λ_0 with the help of polar coordinates. Let us write $z = \rho\exp(i\theta)$ and $w = \eta\exp(i\varphi)$; then the system (7) becomes

$$\begin{cases} R_2(1+\rho^2)\eta^2 = R_1\rho^2(1+\eta^2) \\ (1-\rho^2)\eta^2 + 2\rho\eta\cos(\theta+\varphi) = 0 \end{cases}.$$

The first equation gives

$$\eta = \rho\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2 + (R_2 - R_1)\rho^2}}, \tag{8}$$

thus the second equation yields

$$(1-\rho^2)\rho^2\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2 + (R_2 - R_1)\rho^2}} + 2\rho^2\cos(\theta+\varphi) = 0.$$

When $\rho \neq 0$, this becomes

$$\cos(\theta+\varphi) = \frac{\rho^2-1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2 + (R_2 - R_1)\rho^2}}. \tag{9}$$

So necessarily, the right hand side of this equality belongs to $[-1,1]$, which is equivalent to

$$\frac{R_1(\rho^2-1)^2}{R_2 + (R_2 - R_1)\rho^2} \leq 4.$$

Hence we need to understand when $P(\tau) = R_1(\tau-1)^2 - 4(R_2 + (R_2 - R_1)\tau) \leq 0$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$. But

$$P(\tau) = R_1\tau^2 + 2(R_1 - 2R_2)\tau + R_1 - 4R_2$$

has two roots $\tau^- = -1$ and $\tau^+ = 4R_2/R_1 - 1 > 0$. Hence

$$\{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+;\ P(\tau) \leq 0\} = [0, \zeta^2] \text{ with } \zeta = \sqrt{\frac{4R_2}{R_1} - 1}.$$

So Equation (9) can be satisfied only if ρ belongs to $I = [0, \zeta]$, and when this is the case, we get

$$\varphi = \varepsilon\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2 + (R_2 - R_1)\rho^2}}\right) - \theta$$

for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Consequently, we define two maps $S_\varepsilon : I \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^2$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, by the formula

$$S_\varepsilon(\rho,\theta) = \left(\rho\exp(i\theta), \rho f(\rho)\exp\left(i\left(\varepsilon\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}f(\rho)\right) - \theta\right)\right)\right)$$



Figure 3: The critical fiber Λ_0.

where f satisfies

$$f(\rho) = \sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2 + (R_2 - R_1)\rho^2}}.$$

We set $\Lambda_0^\varepsilon = S_\varepsilon(I \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 4.2. *For $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, the map S_ε is continuous, and is a diffeomorphism from $(0,\zeta) \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ to $S_\varepsilon((0,\zeta) \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover,*

$$\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0^{-1} \cup \Lambda_0^1, \quad \Lambda_0^{-1} \cap \Lambda_0^1 = \{(0,0)\} \cup \mathcal{C}$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \left(\sqrt{\frac{4R_2}{R_1} - 1} \exp(i\theta), \frac{\sqrt{R_1(4R_2 - R_1)}}{2R_2 - R_1} \exp(-i\theta) \right), \ \theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \right\}$.

This means that Λ_0 consists of two cylinders glued along $(0,0)$ on one end and along $\mathcal{C}$ on the other end. Therefore, Λ_0 is a torus pinched at $(0,0)$ (see Figure 3); of course, we already knew it, since a focus-focus critical fiber is always a pinched torus (see for instance [22, Proposition 6.2]).

Proof. The fact that $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0^{-1} \cup \Lambda_0^1$ comes from the considerations above. Let us prove that $\Lambda_0^{-1} \cap \Lambda_0^1 = \{(0,0)\} \cup \mathcal{C}$. So let $(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and assume that

$$(z,w) = \begin{cases} (\rho_1 \exp(i\theta_1), \eta_1 \exp(i\varphi_1)) \in \Lambda_0^{-1} \\ (\rho_2 \exp(i\theta_2), \eta_2 \exp(i\varphi_2)) \in \Lambda_0^1 \end{cases}.$$

If $\rho_1 = 0$, then necessarily $\rho_2 = 0$, and we get that $\eta_1 = 0 = \eta_2$, so $(z, w) = (0, 0)$. Otherwise, we have that

$$\rho_1 = \rho_2,\ \theta_1 = \theta_2,\ \eta_1 = \eta_2,\ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2.$$

But then we have that

$$\varphi_1 = -\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}f(\rho)\right) - \theta_1, \quad \varphi_2 = \arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}f(\rho)\right) - \theta_1.$$

therefore we obtain that $\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}f(\rho)\right) = 0$. But we saw that this happens only when $\rho = \zeta$, and in this case

$$(z, w) = \left(\left(\sqrt{\frac{4R_2}{R_1} - 1}\right)\exp(i\theta_1), \frac{\sqrt{R_1(4R_2 - R_1)}}{2R_2 - R_1}\exp(-i\theta_1)\right),$$

i.e. (z, w) belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. The other statements are easily checked. □

In what follows, we will also need to compute the Hamiltonian vector fields X_J and X_H of J and H on $F^{-1}(c_0) \setminus \{m_0\}$. But we will eventually want to use our parametrization and work on $\Lambda_0 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$; we will slightly abuse notation and use J, H instead of $J \circ \Psi^{-1}, H \circ \Psi^{-1}$ and X_J, X_H for the pushforwards of X_J and X_H by Ψ.

Lemma 4.3. *The Hamiltonian vector field of J satisfies*

$$X_J = i\left(-z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \bar{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + w\frac{\partial}{\partial w} - \bar{w}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}\right),$$

and the Hamiltonian vector field of H is given by

$$X_H = \frac{i}{2}\left(\lambda_1(z, w)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \overline{\lambda_1(z, w)}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + \lambda_2(z, w)\frac{\partial}{\partial w} - \overline{\lambda_2(z, w)}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}\right),$$

where the functions λ_1, λ_2 are defined as

$$\lambda_1(z, w) = \frac{\bar{w} - 2z|w|^2 - z^2 w}{R_1(1 + |w|^2)}, \quad \lambda_2(z, w) = \frac{\bar{z} + w - w|z|^2 - zw^2}{R_2(1 + |z|^2)}.$$

Proof. A standard computation shows that the symplectic form becomes

$$(\Psi^{-1})^*\omega = \frac{2iR_1 dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} + \frac{2iR_2 dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{(1 + |w|^2)^2}.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$J = \frac{R_1(1 - |z|^2)}{1 + |z|^2} + \frac{R_2(|w|^2 - 1)}{1 + |w|^2},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial z} = \frac{-2R_1\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}, \qquad \frac{\partial J}{\partial w} = \frac{2R_2\bar{w}}{(1+|w|^2)^2}.$$

Since J is real-valued, $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \bar{z}} = \overline{\frac{\partial J}{\partial z}}$ and $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \bar{w}} = \overline{\frac{\partial J}{\partial w}}$, and we obtain the desired result. Moreover,

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-|z|^2}{1+|z|^2} + \frac{2(zw+\bar{z}\bar{w}) + (1-|z|^2)(|w|^2-1)}{(1+|z|^2)(1+|w|^2)}\right),$$

hence its derivative with respect to z satisfies

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{-2\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2} + \frac{(2w-\bar{z}|w|^2+\bar{z})(1+|z|^2) - \bar{z}(2(zw+\bar{z}\bar{w}) + (1-|z|^2)(|w|^2-1))}{(1+|z|^2)^2(1+|w|^2)}\right),$$

which yields, after simplification

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = \frac{w - 2\bar{z}|w|^2 - \bar{z}^2\bar{w}}{(1+|z|^2)^2(1+|w|^2)}.$$

A similar computation shows that

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial w} = \frac{z + \bar{w} - 2\bar{w}|z|^2 - \bar{z}\bar{w}^2}{(1+|z|^2)(1+|w|^2)^2},$$

and we conclude by using the same argument as above. □

We now want to simplify this when (z,w) belongs to $\Lambda_0 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$.

Lemma 4.4. *On $\Lambda_0 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, we have that*

$$X_H = \frac{i}{2}\left(-\frac{z(z+\bar{w})}{R_2\bar{w}}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\bar{z}(\bar{z}+w)}{R_2 w}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{w^2}{R_1\bar{z}}\frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \frac{\bar{w}^2}{R_1 z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}\right).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_1(z,w)$, $\lambda_2(z,w)$ be as in the previous lemma, and let $(z,w) \in \Lambda_0 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. Since $z \neq 0$, we get, by multiplying both the numerator and the denominator in λ_1 by $\bar{z}$, that

$$\lambda_1(z,w) = \frac{\bar{z}\bar{w} - 2|z|^2|w|^2 - zw|z|^2}{R_1\bar{z}(1+|w|^2)}.$$

But the second equation in (7) yields $\bar{z}\bar{w} = -zw - |w|^2 - |z|^2|w|^2$, hence

$$\lambda_1(z,w) = \frac{-zw - |w|^2 - |z|^2|w|^2 - zw|z|^2}{R_1\bar{z}(1+|w|^2)} = -\frac{w(z+\bar{w})(1+|z|^2)}{R_1\bar{z}(1+|w|^2)}.$$

The second equation in (7) allows us to further simplify this expression and to obtain

$$\lambda_1(z,w) = -\frac{zw(z+\bar{w})(1+|z|^2)}{R_2|w|^2(1+|z|^2)} = -\frac{z(z+\bar{w})}{R_2\bar{w}}.$$

Now, since $w \neq 0$, we have that

$$\lambda_2(z,w) = \frac{\bar{z}|w|^2 + w|w|^2 - w|z|^2|w|^2 - zw^2|w|^2}{R_2|w|^2(1+|z|^2)} = \frac{\bar{z}|w|^2 - zw^2|w|^2 + w|w|^2(1-|z|^2)}{R_2|w|^2(1+|z|^2)}.$$

The second equation in (7) gives

$$\bar{z}|w|^2 - zw^2|w|^2 + w|w|^2(1-|z|^2) = \bar{z}|w|^2 - zw^2|w|^2 - zw^2 - \bar{z}|w|^2 = -zw^2(1+|w|^2),$$

thus, using the first one again, we finally obtain that $\lambda_2(z,w) = -\frac{w^2}{R_1\bar{z}}$. □

4.3 The Taylor series invariant

The description of the Taylor series invariant can seem very complicated to work with; fortunately, one can use the following results to compute its first terms. Recall that there exist local symplectic coordinates $(\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2, \hat{\xi}_1, \hat{\xi}_2)$ (Eliasson coordinates) on a neighborhood of m_0 and a local diffeomorphism $g : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^2, 0)$ such that $F = g \circ (q_1, q_2)$ where q_1, q_2 are as in Equation (5). Let $\kappa_{1,0}$ and $\kappa_{2,0}$ be the differential forms defined near m_0 in $F^{-1}(c_0) \setminus \{m_0\}$ by the conditions

$$\begin{cases} \kappa_{1,0}(X_{q_1}) = -1, & \kappa_{1,0}(X_{q_2}) = 0 \\ \kappa_{2,0}(X_{q_1}) = 0, & \kappa_{2,0}(X_{q_2}) = -1 \end{cases}.$$

Let $S^\infty = a_1 X + a_2 Y + \sum_{i+j>1} b_{ij} X^i Y^j$ be the Taylor series invariant of (M, ω, F).

Theorem 4.5 ([22, Proposition 6.8], [15, Theorem 2.5])**.** *Let γ_0 be a radial simple loop in $F^{-1}(c_0)$, i.e. a simple loop starting on the local unstable manifold at m_0 and coming back to m_0 via the local stable manifold. Then*

$$a_1 = \lim_{(s,t)\to(0,0)} \left(\int_{A_0=\gamma_0(s)}^{B_0=\gamma_0(1-t)} \kappa_{1,0} + (\nu_{A_0} - \mu_{B_0}) \right) \tag{10}$$

and

$$a_2 = \lim_{(s,t)\to(0,0)} \left(\int_{A_0=\gamma_0(s)}^{B_0=\gamma_0(1-t)} \kappa_{2,0} + \ln(r_{A_0}\sigma_{B_0}) \right), \tag{11}$$

where for any point C close to m_0 with Eliasson coordinates $(\hat{u}_{1,C}, \hat{u}_{2,C}, \hat{\xi}_{1,C}, \hat{\xi}_{2,C})$, (r_C, ν_C) (respectively (σ_C, μ_C)) are the polar coordinates of $(\hat{u}_{1,C}, \hat{u}_{2,C})$ (respectively $(\hat{\xi}_{1,C}, \hat{\xi}_{2,C})$).

At first glance, this seems easier to handle than the original definition, but these formulas involve Eliasson coordinates, which may be extremely difficult to compute. Nevertheless, the following lemma states that we only need to use a first order approximation of these coordinates.

Lemma 4.6 ([15, Lemma 2.13]). *The above theorem still holds if we replace* $(\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2, \hat{\xi}_1, \hat{\xi}_2)$ *by linear Eliasson coordinates, i.e. local symplectic coordinates* (u_1, u_2, ξ_1, ξ_2) *such that the Hessian of* F *at* m_0 *is equal to* $\phi \circ (q_1, q_2)$ *for some linear map* ϕ*, with*

$$q_1 = u_1\xi_2 - u_2\xi_1, \quad q_2 = u_1\xi_1 + u_2\xi_2.$$

So we see that in order to derive the first terms in the Taylor series invariant, the first step is to compute linear Eliasson coordinates at the focus-focus critical point m_0. For this purpose, working with general parameters R_1 and R_2 leads to very complicated expressions, so it is better to fix their values once and for all. Here we choose

$$R_1 = 1, \quad R_2 = 5/2$$

because it simplifies the computations, but one could fix any other values and apply the following method. For our choice of parameters, the symplectic form is

$$\omega = -\left(\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \oplus \frac{5}{2}\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}\right)$$

and J, H become

$$J = z_1 + \frac{5}{2}z_2, \quad H = H = \frac{1}{2}\left(z_1 + x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + z_1z_2\right).$$

Linear Eliasson coordinates. We look for symplectic coordinates (u_1, u_2, ξ_1, ξ_2) on $\mathbb{R}^4$ satisfying the requirements of Lemma 4.6.

Proposition 4.7. *Let* $\phi : T_{m_0}M \to \mathbb{R}^4$ *be the linear isomorphism given by the formula* $\phi(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = (u_1, u_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)$ *with*

$$\begin{cases} u_1 = \frac{1}{6}x_1 + \frac{1}{12}x_2 + \frac{1}{4}y_2, \quad u_2 = -\frac{1}{6}y_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_2 - \frac{1}{12}y_2 \\ \\ \xi_1 = -x_1 - 3y_1 - 5x_2, \quad \xi_2 = -3x_1 + y_1 + 5y_2 \end{cases}.$$

Then $(\phi^{-1})^*\omega_{m_0} = du_1 \wedge d\xi_1 + du_2 \wedge d\xi_2$ *and* $\mathrm{Hess}(B \circ (F - F(m_0)) \circ \phi^{-1} = (q_1, q_2)$ *where* B *is the matrix*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{10}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. One could check these claims directly, but this would not give any insight on how to obtain these coordinates, hence we describe the general method. The idea is to find a basis of $T_{m_0}M$ in which the matrix $A = \Omega^{-1}d^2H(m_0)$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.5 for notation) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta & -\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$; then (u_1, u_2, ξ_1, ξ_2) will be the coordinates associated with this basis, and we will have $\mathrm{Hess}(J) \circ \phi^{-1} = q_1$ and $\mathrm{Hess}(H) \circ \phi^{-1} = \beta q_2 + \alpha q_1$. In order to do so, we start by diagonalizing A over $\mathbb{C}$. With our choice of parameters, it follows from Equation (3) that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{5} & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} & 0 & \frac{1}{5} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = \frac{3}{10} + \frac{1}{10}i, \bar{\lambda}_1, \lambda_2 = -\lambda_1, \bar{\lambda}_2$ with respective eigenvectors $X_1, \overline{X_1}, X_2, \overline{X_2}$ where

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 3-i \\ -1-3i \\ 2i \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X_2 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -3+i \\ -1-3i \\ -2i \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let E_λ be the eigenspace associated with λ. We consider $F = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus E_{\bar{\lambda}_1}$ and $G = E_{\lambda_2} \oplus E_{\bar{\lambda}_2}$; then $T_{m_0}M = F \oplus G$ and a real basis of F is given by

$$Y_1 = X_1 + \overline{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Y_2 = -i(X_1 - \overline{X_1}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There exists a unique basis (Z_1, Z_2) of G such that (Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2) is a symplectic basis of $T_{m_0}M$ (see for instance [13, Lemma 3.2.3]); in the latter, A will take the form displayed in Equation (12). Since

$$G = \mathrm{Span}\left(\begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

there exists $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -3a+b \\ -a-3b \\ -2b \\ 2a \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -3c+d \\ -c-3d \\ -2d \\ 2c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remember that $\omega_{m_0} = -dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + \frac{5}{2}dx_2 \wedge dy_2$. Hence we need to solve the system

$$\begin{cases} 1 = \omega_{m_0}(Y_1, Z_1) = 6a + 18b \\ 0 = \omega_{m_0}(Y_1, Z_2) = 6c + 18d \\ 0 = \omega_{m_0}(Y_2, Z_1) = 18a - 6b \\ 1 = \omega_{m_0}(Y_2, Z_2) = 18c - 6d \end{cases}.$$

We find $a = \frac{1}{60}, b = \frac{1}{20}, c = \frac{1}{20}$ and $d = -\frac{1}{60}$, hence

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{30}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -5 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Z_2 = \frac{1}{30}\begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, the matrix P of change of basis from the basis $\mathcal{B}$ associated with (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) to the basis (Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2) satisfies

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{6} \\ -1 & -3 & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -\frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{30} \\ 2 & 0 & \frac{1}{30} & \frac{1}{10} \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & 0 & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{12} \\ -1 & -3 & -5 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix},$$

which yields the desired expression for the coordinates u_1, u_2, ξ_1, ξ_2. Moreover, $P^{-1}AP$ is as in Equation (12), with $\alpha = -\frac{3}{10}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{10}$, therefore the matrix B in the statement of the Proposition satisfies

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{10} & -\frac{3}{10} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{10}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can double check that all these assertions are correct by a direct computation, using the explicit formulas

$$q_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + y_1^2) + \frac{5}{4}(x_2^2 + y_2^2), \quad q_2 = -\frac{1}{6}(x_1^2 + y_1^2) - \frac{5}{12}(x_2^2 + y_2^2) - \frac{5}{3}(x_1x_2 + y_1y_2).$$

□

Construction of a radial simple loop in Λ_0. The second ingredient that we will need is a radial simple loop γ_0 in Λ_0, that we will construct as an integral curve of the radial vector field X_{q_2}. It follows from the previous proposition that

$$X_{q_2} = \frac{1}{3}X_J - \frac{10}{3}X_H.$$

Using Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we obtain that

$$X_{q_2} = \frac{i}{3}\left(\frac{z(2z+\bar{w})}{\bar{w}}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\bar{z}(2\bar{z}+w)}{w}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{w(\bar{z}+5w)}{\bar{z}}\frac{\partial}{\partial w} - \frac{\bar{w}(z+5\bar{w})}{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}\right)$$

on $\Lambda_0 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. Since we want to use the parametrization of Λ_0 that we obtained in the previous section, we need to express X_{q_2} in polar coordinates $(\rho, \theta, \eta, \varphi)$ such that $z = \rho\exp(i\theta)$ and $w = \eta\exp(i\varphi)$. One has

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\exp(-i\theta)}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{i}{\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\exp(i\theta)}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{i}{\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right),$$

and similar formulas hold for $\frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}$. Using these relations, a tedious but straightforward computation yields the following result.

Lemma 4.8. *On $\Lambda_0 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, we have that*

$$X_{q_2} = \frac{1}{3}\left(-\frac{2\rho^2\sin(\theta+\varphi)}{\eta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \left(1+\frac{2\rho\cos(\theta+\varphi)}{\eta}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \frac{5\eta^2\sin(\theta+\varphi)}{\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta} + \left(1+\frac{5\eta\cos(\theta+\varphi)}{\rho}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\right).$$

We now use the parametrization derived in the previous section. With our choice of parameters, we parametrize Λ_0 by $S_\varepsilon : [0,3]\times\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^2$, for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, with

$$S_\varepsilon(\rho,\theta) = \big(\rho\exp(i\theta), \eta(\rho,\theta)\exp\left(i\varphi(\rho,\theta)\right)\big)$$

where the functions η and φ satisfy

$$\eta(\rho,\theta) = \rho\sqrt{\frac{2}{5+3\rho^2}}, \quad \varphi(\rho,\theta) = \varepsilon\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{\sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}}\right) - \theta.$$

We recall that we defined $\Lambda_0^\varepsilon = S_\varepsilon([0,3]\times\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 4.9. *On $\Lambda_0^\varepsilon \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, we have that*

$$X_{q_2} = \frac{1}{3}\left(-\varepsilon\rho\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \rho^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \frac{5\varepsilon\rho\sqrt{2(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}}{(5+3\rho^2)^{3/2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta} + \frac{8\rho^2}{5+3\rho^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\right).$$

Proof. Obviously, we have that

$$\cos(\theta+\varphi) = \frac{\rho^2-1}{\sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sin^2(\theta+\varphi) = 1 - \frac{(\rho^2-1)^2}{2(5+3\rho^2)} = \frac{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}{2(5+3\rho^2)},$$

and we obtain that

$$\sin(\theta+\varphi) = \varepsilon\sqrt{\frac{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}{2(5+3\rho^2)}}.$$

We get the result by substituting these formulas in the expression obtained in the previous lemma. □

We define the loop $\gamma_0 : [0,1] \to M$ (we slightly abuse notation and denote the image of γ_0 by γ_0 as well) as follows:

$$\gamma_0(t) = \begin{cases} S_1\left(6t, \frac{1}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{(6t)^2-1}{\sqrt{2(5+3(6t)^2)}}\right)\right) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ \\ S_{-1}\left(6(1-t), -\frac{1}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{(6(1-t))^2-1}{\sqrt{2(5+3(6(1-t))^2)}}\right)\right) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1 \end{cases}.$$

This means that γ_0 starts at $(0,0)$, goes through Λ_0^1, then through Λ_0^{-1}, in both cases with $\varphi = \theta$ at every time t, and ends at $(0,0)$. Observe that this loop is well-defined since $S_{-1}(3,0) = S_1(3,0)$.

Proposition 4.10. *The curve γ_0 is an integral curve of X_{q_2}.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the vector field $(S_\varepsilon)_*\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}$, which by construction is tangent to γ_0, is colinear to X_{q_2} at every point of γ_0. On the one hand, since $\varphi = \theta$ along γ_0, the previous lemma yields that

$$X_{q_2} = \frac{1}{3}\left(-\varepsilon\rho\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - \frac{5\varepsilon\rho\sqrt{2(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}}{(5+3\rho^2)^{3/2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta} + \frac{(13+3\rho^2)\rho^2}{5+3\rho^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\right)$$

along γ_0. On the other hand,

$$(S_\varepsilon)_*\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \frac{\partial\eta}{\partial\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta} + 2\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$$

along γ_0, where

$$\eta = \rho\sqrt{\frac{2}{5+3\rho^2}}, \qquad \theta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{\sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}}\right).$$

A computation gives that

$$\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial\rho} = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{(5+3\rho^2)^{3/2}}, \qquad \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\rho} = -\frac{\varepsilon(13+3\rho^2)\rho}{2(5+3\rho^2)\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}};$$

hence we finally obtain that

$$(S_\varepsilon)_*\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{(5+3\rho^2)^{3/2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta} - \frac{\varepsilon(13+3\rho^2)\rho}{(5+3\rho^2)\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$$

along γ_0. Comparing this with the above expression for X_{q_2}, we get that

$$X_{q_2} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\rho\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}\ (S_\varepsilon)_*\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} \tag{13}$$

along γ_0. □

Computation of a_2. Recall that we have the formula

$$a_2 = \lim_{(s,t)\to(0,0)} \left(\int_{A=\gamma_0(s)}^{B=\gamma_0(1-t)} \kappa_{2,0} + \ln(r_A \sigma_B) \right) \tag{14}$$

where, if C is a point close to $(0,0)$ with linear Eliasson's coordinates (u_1, u_2, ξ_1, ξ_2), then

$$r_C = \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2}, \quad \sigma_C = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}.$$

Thus our previous results give us everything that we need in order to compute a_2. We start by computing the integral term; since $\kappa_{2,0}(X_{q_2}) = -1$, Equation (13) implies that

$$\int_A^B \kappa_{2,0} = \int_{\rho_1}^3 \frac{3\ d\rho}{\rho\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}} - \int_3^{\rho_2} \frac{3\ d\rho}{\rho\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}},$$

where ρ_1 parametrizes A_0 and ρ_2 parametrizes B_0.

Lemma 4.11. *We have that*

$$\int_{\rho_1}^3 \frac{d\rho}{\rho\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}} = \frac{1}{6}\left(\ln\left(4\rho_1^2 + 9 + 3\sqrt{(\rho_1^2+1)(9-\rho_1^2)} \right) - 2\ln\rho_1 - \ln 5 \right).$$

Proof. Let I denote the integral that we wish to compute. The successive changes of variables $u = \rho^2$ and $v = u - 4$ yield

$$I = \int_{\rho_1^2}^9 \frac{du}{2u\sqrt{-u^2+8u+9}} = \int_{\rho_1^2}^9 \frac{du}{2u\sqrt{25-(u-4)^2}} = \int_{\rho_1^2-4}^5 \frac{dv}{2(v+4)\sqrt{25-v^2}}.$$

Using the change of variables $v = 5\sin\theta$, we can rewrite this integral as

$$I = \int_{\arcsin\left(\frac{\rho_1^2-4}{5}\right)}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\theta}{2(4+5\sin\theta)}.$$

This integral can be computed using the usual change of variables $t = \tan(\theta/2)$:

$$I = \int_{f(\rho_1)}^1 \frac{dt}{4t^2+10t+4} = \int_{f(\rho_1)}^1 \frac{dt}{3(2t+1)} - \int_{f(\rho_1)}^1 \frac{dt}{6(t+2)} = \frac{1}{6}\ln\left(\frac{f(\rho_1)+2}{2f(\rho_1)+1} \right),$$

where $f(\rho_1)$ is defined as

$$f(\rho_1) = \tan\left(\frac{\arcsin\left(\frac{\rho_1^2-4}{5}\right)}{2} \right).$$

Using the identity $x\tan(\arcsin(x)/2) = 1 - \sqrt{1-x^2}$, we find that

$$f(\rho_1) = \frac{5 - \sqrt{(\rho_1^2+1)(9-\rho_1^2)}}{\rho_1^2 - 4}.$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\frac{f(\rho_1)+2}{2f(\rho_1)+1} = \frac{-3+2\rho_1^2-\sqrt{(\rho_1^2+1)(9-\rho_1^2)}}{6+\rho_1^2-2\sqrt{(\rho_1^2+1)(9-\rho_1^2)}};$$

multiplying both the numerator and the denominator by $6+\rho_1^2+2\sqrt{(\rho_1^2+1)(9-\rho_1^2)}$, we finally obtain that

$$\frac{f(\rho_1)+2}{2f(\rho_1)+1} = \frac{4\rho_1^2+9+3\sqrt{(\rho_1^2+1)(9-\rho_1^2)}}{5\rho_1^2},$$

which yields the result. □

In order to compute the limit in (14), we may take $\rho_2 = \rho_1 = \rho$; then the above result yields

$$\int_A^B \kappa_{2,0} = -\ln 5 - 2\ln\rho + \ln\left(4\rho_1^2+9+3\sqrt{(\rho_1^2+1)(9-\rho_1^2)}\right). \tag{15}$$

It remains to compute the logarithmic term in (14). In order to do so, we start by expressing the linear Eliasson coordinates of A and B, as obtained in Proposition 4.7, in terms of ρ.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $(u_{1,A}, u_{2,A}, \xi_{1,A}, \xi_{2,A})$ (respectively $(u_{1,B}, u_{2,B}, \xi_{1,B}, \xi_{2,B})$) be the linear Eliasson coordinates of A (respectively B). Then*

$$u_{1,A} + iu_{2,A} = \frac{\rho}{30(1+\rho^2)}\left(10\exp(i\theta_A) + (1+3i)\sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}\exp(-i\theta_A)\right)$$

where $\theta_A = \frac{1}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{\sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}}\right)$ and

$$\xi_{1,B} + i\xi_{2,B} = \frac{-2\rho}{1+\rho^2}\left((1+3i)\exp(i\theta_B) + \sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}\exp(-i\theta_B)\right)$$

where $\theta_B = -\frac{1}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{\sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}}\right) = -\theta_A$.

Proof. For any point where we can use the Eliasson coordinates, we have that

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{12}(2x_1+x_2+3y_2) = \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{2\rho\cos\theta}{1+\rho^2} + \frac{\eta\cos\varphi}{1+\eta^2} + \frac{3\eta\sin\varphi}{1+\eta^2}\right).$$

Now, since A belongs to $\Lambda_0^1 \cap \gamma_0$, we have that

$$\varphi = \theta = \frac{1}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{\sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}}\right), \quad \eta = \rho\sqrt{\frac{2}{5+3\rho^2}},$$

therefore the above expression leads to

$$u_{1,A} = \frac{\rho}{30(1+\rho^2)}\left(10\cos\theta_A + \sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}\,(\cos\theta_A + 3\sin\theta_A)\right).$$

Similarly,

$$u_2 = \frac{1}{12}(-2y_1 + 3x_2 - y_2) = \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{2\rho\sin\theta}{1+\rho^2} + \frac{3\eta\cos\varphi}{1+\eta^2} - \frac{\eta\sin\varphi}{1+\eta^2}\right),$$

and we obtain that

$$u_{2,A} = \frac{\rho}{30(1+\rho^2)}\left(10\sin\theta_A + \sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}\,(3\cos\theta_A - \sin\theta_A)\right),$$

which yields the first claim. The second one follows from similar computations, leading to

$$\xi_{1,B} = \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2}\left(-\cos\theta_B + 3\sin\theta_B - \sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}\cos\theta_B\right)$$

and

$$\xi_{2,B} = \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2}\left(-3\cos\theta_B - \sin\theta_B + \sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}\cos\theta_B\right).$$

□

Using these results, we can now compute r_A, σ_B and conclude. We finally obtain:

Proposition 4.13. *For our choice of parameters $t = 1/2, R_1 = 1, R_2 = 5/2$, the term a_2 in the Taylor series invariant satisfies*

$$a_2 = \frac{7}{2}\ln 2 + 3\ln 3 - \frac{3}{2}\ln 5.$$

Proof. It follows from the previous lemma that

$$r_A^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{900(1+\rho^2)^2}\left(100 + 20\sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}\Re((1-3i)\exp(2i\theta_A)) + 20(5+3\rho^2)\right).$$

But $\Re((1-3i)\exp(2i\theta_A)) = \cos(2\theta_A) + 3\sin(2\theta_A)$ so

$$\Re((1-3i)\exp(2i\theta_A)) = \frac{\rho^2 - 1 + 3\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}}{\sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}},$$

which yields

$$r_A^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{45(1+\rho^2)^2}\left(9 + 4\rho^2 + 3\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}\right).$$

A similar computation gives

$$\sigma_B^2 = \frac{8\rho^2}{(1+\rho^2)^2}\left(9 + 4\rho^2 + 3\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}\right).$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\ln(r_A\sigma_B) = \frac{3}{2}\ln 2 - \ln 3 - \frac{1}{2}\ln 5 + 2\ln\rho - 2\ln(1+\rho^2) + \ln\left(9+4\rho^2+3\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}\right);$$

this equation together with Equation (15) gives

$$\int_A^B \kappa_{2,0} + \ln(r_A\sigma_B) = \frac{3}{2}\ln 2 - \ln 3 - \frac{3}{2}\ln 5 + 2\ln\left(9+4\rho^2+3\sqrt{(\rho^2+1)(9-\rho^2)}\right) - 2\ln(1+\rho^2)$$

which implies, by taking the limit when ρ goes to zero, that

$$a_2 = \frac{7}{2}\ln 2 + 3\ln 3 - \frac{3}{2}\ln 5$$

as announced. □

Computation of a_1. We also want to compute a_1, which is given by

$$a_1 = \lim_{(s,t)\to(0,0)} \left(\int_{A=\gamma_0(s)}^{B=\gamma_0(1-t)} \kappa_{1,0} + \nu_A - \mu_B \right),$$

where (r_A, ν_A) (respectively (σ_B, μ_B) are the polar coordinates of $u_{1,A} + iu_{2,A}$ (respectively $\xi_{1,B} + i\xi_{2,B}$). Since $\kappa_{1,0}(X_{q_2}) = 0$, this yields $a_1 = \lim_{(s,t)\to(0,0)}(\nu_A - \mu_B)$.

Proposition 4.14. *For our choice of parameters $t = 1/2, R_1 = 1, R_2 = 5/2$, the term a_1 in the Taylor series invariant satisfies $a_1 = \pi$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.12 that $u_{1,A} + iu_{2,A} = \frac{\rho\exp(i\theta_A)}{30(1+\rho^2)} z$ with

$$z = 10 + (1+3i)\sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)}\exp(-2i\theta_A),$$

thus $\nu_A = \theta_A + \arg z$. Similarly, $\xi_{1,B} + i\xi_{2,B} = \frac{-2\rho\exp(i\theta_A)}{1+\rho^2} w$ with

$$w = \sqrt{2(5+3\rho^2)} + (1+3i)\exp(-2i\theta_A),$$

hence $\mu_B = \pi + \theta_A + \arg(w)$. Consequently, $\nu_A - \mu_B = \arg(z) - \arg(w) - \pi$. Now, when $\rho = 0$, $2\theta_A = -\arccos\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}\right)$, so we obtain that

$$z = 18 - 6i, \quad w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}(18 - 6i),$$

therefore $\arg w = \arg z$ and the result follows. □

4.4 The polygonal invariant

Next we compute the polygonal invariant of the system. We recall that the relevant notation was introduced in Section 4.1.

Proposition 4.15. *For $t = 1/2$, the polygonal invariant is the $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{T})$-orbit consisting of the two following rational convex polygons:*

1. *the parallelogram Δ_1 with vertices at $(-(R_1 + R_2), 0), (R_1 - R_2, 2R_1), (R_1 + R_2, 2R_1)$ and $(R_2 - R_1, 0)$, with $\epsilon = 1$,*
2. *the trapezoid Δ_2 with vertices at $(-(R_1 + R_2), 0), (R_1 + R_2, 0), (R_2 - R_1, -2R_1)$ and $(R_1 - R_2, -2R_1)$, with $\epsilon = -1$.*

These polygons are depicted in Figure 4.

Proof. We use Theorem 5.3 in [24], which allows us to compute the difference between the slopes of the top and bottom edges at a vertex x of such a convex polygon in terms of the number of focus-focus critical points and the isotropy weights of J at the elliptic-elliptic critical points. These isotropy weights are defined as follows; near a critical point m of elliptic-elliptic type, there exists local symplectic coordinates (q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2) in which J can be written as

$$J = J(m) + a\left(\frac{q_1^2 + p_1^2}{2}\right) + b\left(\frac{q_2^2 + p_2^2}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(3).$$

The numbers a, b are the isotropy weights of J at m: let us compute them in our case. By Equation (4), we have that

$$J = -(R_1 + R_2) + R_1\left(\frac{x_1^2 + y_1^2}{2}\right) + R_2\left(\frac{x_2^2 + y_2^2}{2}\right) + O(3)$$

near m_1; and since $\omega = R_1 dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + R_2 dx_2 \wedge dy_2$ at m_1, the coordinates $q_i = \sqrt{R_i} y_i$, $p_i = \sqrt{R_i} x_i$ are symplectic. In these coordinates,

$$J = -(R_1 + R_2) + \frac{q_1^2 + p_1^2}{2} + \frac{q_2^2 + p_2^2}{2} + O(3),$$

hence the isotropy weights are $(1, 1)$. Similar computations show that the isotropy weights of J at m_2 and m_3 are $(1, -1)$ and $(-1, -1)$ respectively.

We can now compute the polygonal invariant. Let us first choose $\epsilon = 1$, which means that we construct the polygon by introducing a corner above c_0. We may assume that c_1 is fixed, so the first vertex of the polygon is $A = (-(R_1 + R_2), 0)$. We may also assume that the bottom edge e_1 leaving A is an horizontal segment going rightwards. By the discussion above, we know that the difference between the slope of the other edge e_2 leaving A and the slope of e_1 (the latter being zero) is equal to one. Therefore the slope of e_2 is equal to one;

the other vertex B of e_2 is the intersection of e_2 with the vertical line defined by the abscissa of c_0. This means that $B = (R_1 - R_2, 2R_1)$. The difference between the slope of the other edge e_3 leaving B and the slope of e_2 is equal to -1, hence e_3 is horizontal. Its other vertex C has the same abscissa as m_3, so we must have $C = (R_1 + R_2, 2R_1)$. The difference between the slope of e_3 and the slope of the other edge e_4 leaving C is equal to -1, thus e_4 has slope 1. Finally, e_4 meets e_1 at $(R_2 - R_1, 0)$, and we obtain the parallelogram described above.

The case $\epsilon = -1$ follows the same lines and is left to the reader. □



(a) Δ_1, $\epsilon = 1$. (b) Δ_2, $\epsilon = -1$.

Figure 4: The polygonal invariants.

We will not compute the twisting indices of these two polygons, but let us say a few words about them. Let ℓ_0 be the vertical line passing through c_0. Remember that $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t_{\ell_0}^n$ is the piecewise integral-affine transformation equal to the identity on the left half-space defined by ℓ_0 and to T^n on the right half-space defined by ℓ_0. We claim that $\Delta_2 = (t_{\ell_0}^1 \circ T^{-1})(\Delta_1)$. Therefore, the description of the behavior of the twisting index under the $(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{T})$-action in Section 4.1 yields the following result.

Lemma 4.16. *The twisting indices k_1, k_2 of Δ_1, Δ_2 satisfy the equality $k_2 = k_1 - 1$.*

4.5 The height invariant

Remember that the height invariant h is the volume of $\{H < H(m_0)\} = \{H < 0\}$ in the reduced manifold $M^{\text{red}} = J^{-1}(J(m_0))/S^1$, with respect to $|\omega^{\text{red}}|/2\pi$ with ω^{red} the canonical symplectic form on M^{red}. The S^1-action is given by the Hamiltonian flow of J; in other words, in polar coordinates,

$$\exp(is) \cdot (\rho \exp(i\theta), \eta \exp(i\varphi)) = (\rho \exp(i(\theta - s)), \eta \exp(i(\varphi + s))).$$

Moreover, on $J^{-1}(J(m_0))$, $\eta = \rho\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2 + (R_2 - R_1)\rho^2}}$. Thus an element of M^{red} can be described by two coordinates (ρ, α), as the equivalence class

$$\left[\left(\rho, \rho\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2 + (R_2 - R_1)\rho^2}} \exp(i\alpha)\right)\right].$$

We would like to compute the symplectic form ω^{red} in these coordinates; by definition, for $[X], [Y] \in TM^{\mathrm{red}}$,

$$\omega^{\mathrm{red}}([X],[Y]) = \omega(X,Y)$$

for any choice of representatives $X, Y \in T(J^{-1}(c_0))$. But

$$X = \mu_1^X \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\mu_2^X}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{R_2\sqrt{R_1}}{(R_2+(R_2-R_1)\rho^2)^{3/2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\mu_2^X}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}$$

is a representative of $[X] = \mu_1^X \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \mu_2^X \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}$. Therefore,

$$\omega^{\mathrm{red}}([X],[Y]) = \left(\frac{2R_1\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^2} + \frac{2R_2^2\sqrt{R_1}\eta}{(1+\eta^2)^2(R_2+(R_2-R_1)\rho^2)^{3/2}}\right)(\mu_1^X\mu_2^Y - \mu_2^X\mu_1^Y).$$

Writing η in terms of ρ, a straightforward computation yields

$$\omega^{\mathrm{red}}([X],[Y]) = \frac{4R_1\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^2}(\mu_1^X\mu_2^Y - \mu_2^X\mu_1^Y);$$

in other words,

$$\omega^{\mathrm{red}} = \frac{4R_1\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^2} d\rho \wedge d\alpha.$$

This is consistent with the fact that the volume of M^{red} with respect to $|\omega^{\mathrm{red}}|/2\pi$ is equal to the length of the vertical segment containing the image of c_0 in any polygon constructed in the previous section, that is $2R_1$. Indeed,

$$\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{4R_1\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^2}\frac{d\rho\wedge d\alpha}{2\pi} = \int_0^{+\infty}\frac{4R_1\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^2}d\rho = 2R_1.$$

Using previous considerations, we get that the height invariant is the volume of the submanifold consisting of all elements $\left[\left(\rho, \rho\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2+(R_2-R_1)\rho^2}}\exp(i\alpha)\right)\right] \in M^{\mathrm{red}}$ satisfying

$$\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2+(R_2-R_1)\rho^2}}(1-\rho^2) + 2\cos\alpha < 0.$$

This inequality is possible if and only if $0 < \rho < \sqrt{\frac{4R_2}{R_1} - 1}$, and it means that

$$\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2+(R_2-R_1)\rho^2}}\right) < \alpha < 2\pi - \arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2+(R_2-R_1)\rho^2}}\right).$$

Consequently, we have that

$$h = \int_0^{\sqrt{4\Theta-1}} \frac{4R_1\rho}{2\pi(1+\rho^2)^2}\left(2\pi - 2\arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2+(R_2-R_1)\rho^2}}\right)\right) = 2R_1\left(1-\frac{2I}{\pi}\right),$$

where $\Theta = R_2/R_1$ and I is the integral

$$I = \int_0^{\sqrt{4\Theta-1}} \frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^2} \arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2+(R_2-R_1)\rho^2}}\right) d\rho.$$

One can compute the latter as follows.

Lemma 4.17. *The integral I satisfies*

$$I = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\Theta}}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{4\Theta-1} + \frac{\Theta-1}{2}\arctan(2\Theta-1) \\ -\frac{\Theta-1}{2}\arctan\left(\frac{(2\Theta^2-2\Theta+1)\sqrt{4\Theta-1}-2\Theta^2}{(2\Theta-1)^2}\right).$$

Proof. Let $g(\rho) = \arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2+(R_2-R_1)\rho^2}}\right) = \arccos\left(\frac{\rho^2-1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\Theta+(\Theta-1)\rho^2}}\right)$; a tedious but straightforward computation yields

$$g'(\rho) = \frac{-((\Theta-1)\rho^3+(3\Theta-1)\rho)}{(\Theta+(\Theta-1)\rho^2)\sqrt{(1+\rho^2)(4\Theta-1-\rho^2)}},$$

and therefore an integration by parts leads to

$$I = \frac{1}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{-1}{2\sqrt{\Theta}}\right) - \frac{J}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\Theta}}\right) - \frac{J}{2}$$

where J is the integral

$$J = \int_0^{\sqrt{4\Theta-1}} \frac{(\Theta-1)\rho^3+(3\Theta-1)\rho}{(1+\rho^2)(\Theta+(\Theta-1)\rho^2)\sqrt{(1+\rho^2)(4\Theta-1-\rho^2)}}\, d\rho.$$

Now, one can check that

$$\frac{(\Theta-1)\rho^2+3\Theta-1}{(1+\rho^2)(\Theta+(\Theta-1)\rho^2)} = \frac{2\Theta}{1+\rho^2} - \frac{(2\Theta-1)(\Theta-1)}{\Theta+(\Theta-1)\rho^2},$$

and consequently $J = K - L$ with

$$K = \int_0^{\sqrt{4\Theta-1}} \frac{2\Theta\rho\, d\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{3/2}\sqrt{4\Theta-1-\rho^2}},\ L = \int_0^{\sqrt{4\Theta-1}} \frac{(2\Theta-1)(\Theta-1)\rho\, d\rho}{(\Theta+(\Theta-1)\rho^2)\sqrt{(1+\rho^2)(4\Theta-1-\rho^2)}}.$$

One readily checks that

$$K = -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\sqrt{4\Theta-1}} h'(\rho)\, d\rho, \quad h(\rho) = \sqrt{\frac{4\Theta-1-\rho^2}{1+\rho^2}},$$

and therefore $K = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4\Theta - 1}$. In order to compute L, we start by making the change of variables $u = \rho^2$:

$$L = \frac{(2\Theta - 1)(\Theta - 1)}{2} \int_0^{4\Theta - 1} \frac{du}{(\Theta + (\Theta - 1)u)\sqrt{4\Theta^2 - (u + 1 - 2\Theta)^2}}.$$

Now, we put $v = u + 1 - 2\Theta$ to get

$$L = \frac{(2\Theta - 1)(\Theta - 1)}{2} \int_{1-2\Theta}^{2\Theta} \frac{dv}{((\Theta - 1)v + 2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1)\sqrt{4\Theta^2 - v^2}}.$$

The next step is to use the change of variables $v = 2\Theta \sin\theta$ to obtain

$$L = \frac{(2\Theta - 1)(\Theta - 1)}{2} \int_{\arcsin\left(\frac{1}{2\Theta} - 1\right)}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\theta}{2\Theta(\Theta - 1)\sin\theta + 2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1}.$$

Performing the change of variables $w = \tan(\theta/2)$, and using the fact that

$$\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\arcsin\left(\frac{1}{2\Theta} - 1\right)\right) = \frac{2\Theta - \sqrt{4\Theta - 1}}{1 - 2\Theta},$$

the integral above becomes

$$L = (2\Theta - 1)(\Theta - 1) \int_{\frac{2\Theta - \sqrt{4\Theta - 1}}{1 - 2\Theta}}^{1} \frac{dw}{4\Theta(\Theta - 1)w + (1 + w^2)(2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1)}.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$L = (2\Theta - 1)(\Theta - 1) \int_{\frac{2\Theta - \sqrt{4\Theta - 1}}{1 - 2\Theta}}^{1} \frac{dw}{\left(w\sqrt{2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1} + \frac{2\Theta(\Theta - 1)}{\sqrt{2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1}}\right)^2 + \frac{4\Theta^2 - 4\Theta + 1}{2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1}}.$$

Setting $z = w\sqrt{2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1} + \frac{2\Theta(\Theta - 1)}{\sqrt{2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1}}$, we finally arrive at

$$L = (\Theta - 1) \int_{\frac{2\Theta^2 - (2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1)\sqrt{4\Theta - 1}}{1 + 2\Theta}}^{2\Theta - 1} \frac{dw}{1 + w^2}.$$

This means that

$$L = (\Theta - 1)\left(\arctan(2\Theta - 1) - \arctan\left(\frac{(2\Theta^2 - 2\Theta + 1)\sqrt{4\Theta - 1} - 2\Theta^2}{(2\Theta - 1)^2}\right)\right),$$

which concludes the proof. □

Using the lemma above and the considerations before it, we finally obtain the following formula for the height invariant.

Proposition 4.18. *The height invariant h is given by the formula*

$$h = \frac{2R_1}{\pi}\arccos\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\Theta}}\right) + \frac{R_1}{\pi}\sqrt{4\Theta-1} - \frac{2R_1(\Theta-1)}{\pi}\arctan(2\Theta-1)$$
$$+ \frac{2R_1(\Theta-1)}{\pi}\arctan\left(\frac{(2\Theta^2-2\Theta+1)\sqrt{4\Theta-1}-2\Theta^2}{(2\Theta-1)^2}\right),$$

where we recall that $\Theta = R_2/R_1$.

Coming back to our example where $R_1 = 1, R_2 = 5/2$, this formula implies that the height invariant is given by

$$h = \frac{2}{\pi}\arccos\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}\right) + \frac{3}{\pi}\left(1 + \arctan\left(\frac{13}{16}\right) - \arctan 4\right) \approx 1.136.$$

5 Quantization of F

We now want to study a quantum version of this integrable system. Namely, we want to find two commuting self-adjoint operators $\hat{J}, \hat{H}$ acting on some Hilbert space, and quantizing J, H in some sense. To be more precise, we will be working with a semiclassical parameter, and we will ask the principal symbols of $\hat{J}, \hat{H}$ to be J, H. Note that such operators might not exist in general, see [9], but we will see that in our example such a pair can be constructed. Since the phase space for coupled angular momenta is compact, the quantization procedure relies on the so-called geometric quantization [11, 21], and the quantum observables are Berezin-Toeplitz operators [4, 5, 12, 20]. We start by briefly reviewing these notions for the sake of completeness.

5.1 Geometric quantization and Berezin-Toeplitz operators

Let (M, ω, j) be a compact, connected, Kähler manifold. Assume that M is quantizable, i.e. that there exists a holomorphic, Hermitian line bundle $L \to M$ whose Chern connection ∇ has curvature $-i\omega$ (a prequantum line bundle); this is equivalent to asking the cohomology class $[\omega/2\pi]$ to be integral. Let $K \to M$ be another holomorphic, Hermitian line bundle; typically, we would like to take $K = \delta$ a half-form bundle, that is a square root of the canonical line bundle $\Lambda^{n,0}T^*M$, but such a line bundle might not exist globally.

For any positive integer k, we consider the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_k = H^0(M, L^{\otimes k} \otimes K), \quad \langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int_M h_k(\phi, \psi)\mu$$

of holomorphic sections of the line bundle $L^{\otimes k} \otimes K \to M$. Here h_k is the Hermitian metric induced on $L^{\otimes k} \otimes K$ by the ones on L and K, and μ is the Liouville measure associated

with ω. Since M is compact, this space is finite dimensional. The integer k is a semiclassical parameter, representing the inverse of Planck's constant $\hbar$, and the semiclassical limit is $k \to +\infty$.

The quantum observables, Berezin-Toeplitz operators, are sequences of operators defined as follows. Let us define the space $L^2(M, L^{\otimes k} \otimes K)$ as the completion of the space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(L^{\otimes k} \otimes K)$ of smooth sections of $L^{\otimes k} \otimes K \to M$ with respect to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ introduced above, and let Π_k be the orthogonal projector from $L^2(M, L^{\otimes k} \otimes K)$ to the quantum space $\mathcal{H}_k$.

Definition 5.1. A *Berezin-Toeplitz operator* is a sequence of operators

$$(T_k : \mathcal{H}_k \to \mathcal{H}_k)_{k \geq 1}$$

of the form

$$T_k = \Pi_k M_{f(\cdot,k)} + R_k$$

for some sequence of smooth functions $f(\cdot, k)$ with an asymptotic expansion of the form $f(\cdot, k) \sim \sum_{\ell \geq 0} k^{-\ell} f_\ell$ in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology, and some sequence of operators R_k whose operator norm $\|R_k\|$ is a $O(k^{-\infty})$, that is a $O(k^{-N})$ for every $N \geq 1$.

Here M_f stands for the operator of multiplication by f. The first term f_0 in the above asymptotic expansion is the *principal symbol* of T_k.

5.2 Quantization of the sphere

Let us explain how to use this recipe to quantize the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$. We start by working on $\mathbb{CP}^1$, which is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$. Let us consider the tautological line bundle

$$\mathcal{O}(-1) = \Big\{ ([u], v) \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2;\ v \in \mathbb{C}u \Big\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2,$$

endowed with its natural holomorphic and Hermitian structures. One can check that the associated Chern connection has curvature $i\omega_{FS}$, where ω_{FS} is the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ (such that the area of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ is equal to 2π). Therefore, the dual line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}(1)$ is a prequantum line bundle for $(\mathbb{CP}^1, \omega_{FS})$. Moreover, it is well-known that the canonical bundle can be identified with $\mathcal{O}(-2)$; thus $\delta = \mathcal{O}(-1)$ is a half-form bundle. So the Hilbert spaces that we consider are

$$H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, L^{\otimes k} \otimes \delta) = H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(k-1)).$$

Now, given an integer $p \geq 1$, it is standard that $H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(p))$ can be identified with the space $\mathbb{C}_p[z_1, z_2]$ of homogeneous polynomials of degree p in two complex variables. In this isomorphism, the scalar product becomes

$$\langle P, Q \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{P(1,z)\overline{Q(1,z)}}{(1+|z|^2)^{p+2}}\ |dz \wedge d\bar{z}|.$$

To come back to $\mathbb{S}^2$, we use the stereographic projection (for instance from the north pole to the equatorial plane); one can check that the pullback of ω_{FS} by the latter is $-\frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ (actually, we already used this result in a previous section). There exist very explicit formulas for the quantization of the coordinates (x_0, y_0, z_0) on $\mathbb{S}^2$. In what follows, we identify $\mathbb{C}_p[z_1, z_2]$ with the space of polynomials of one complex variable z of degree less than or equal to p; the following result holds in this identification.

Lemma 5.2. *The self-adjoint operators*

$$T_p(x_0) = \frac{1}{p+2}\left((1-z^2)\frac{d}{dz} + pz\right), \quad T_p(y_0) = \frac{i}{p+2}\left((1+z^2)\frac{d}{dz} - pz\right)$$

and

$$T_p(z_0) = \frac{1}{p+2}\left(2z\frac{d}{dz} - p\ \mathrm{Id}\right)$$

are Berezin-Toeplitz operators acting on $H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(p))$ with respective principal symbols x_0, y_0 and z_0.

For a proof, see for instance [2, Lemma 3.4]. One readily checks that these operators satisfy the commutation relation

$$[T_p(x_0), T_p(y_0)] = \frac{2i}{p+2}T_p(z_0) \tag{16}$$

and its cyclic permutations. Now, one can check that the family

$$\phi_\ell = \sqrt{\frac{(p+1)\binom{p}{\ell}}{2\pi}}z^{p-\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq p$$

is an orthonormal basis of $H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(p))$. A direct computation shows the following.

Lemma 5.3. *The action of $T_p(x_0), T_p(y_0)$ and $T_p(z_0)$ in the basis $(\phi_\ell)_{0\leq\ell\leq p}$ is given by the formulas*

1. $T_p(x_0)\phi_\ell = \frac{1}{p+2}\left(\sqrt{\ell(p-\ell+1)}\ \phi_{\ell-1} + \sqrt{(\ell+1)(p-\ell)}\ \phi_{\ell+1}\right)$,
2. $T_p(y_0)\phi_\ell = \frac{-i}{p+2}\left(\sqrt{\ell(p-\ell+1)}\ \phi_{\ell-1} - \sqrt{(\ell+1)(p-\ell)}\ \phi_{\ell+1}\right)$,
3. $T_p(z_0)\phi_\ell = \frac{p-2\ell}{p+2}\phi_\ell$.

Here we have used the convention $\phi_{-1} = 0 = \phi_{p+1}$.

5.3 Quantum coupled angular momenta

Now we want to quantize $M = \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with symplectic form $-(R_1\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \otimes R_2\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2})$. This is possible if and only if R_1 and R_2 are positive half-integers. In this case, the external tensor product

$$L = \mathcal{O}(2R_1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(2R_2) = p_1^*\mathcal{O}(2R_1) \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{O}(2R_2)$$

is a prequantum line bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$, where $p_i : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \mathbb{CP}^1$, $i = 1, 2$, are the natural projections to the first and second factor. Moreover, the line bundle $\delta = \mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-1)$ is a half-form bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$, hence the quantum spaces that we consider are

$$\mathcal{H}_k = H^0\left(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(2kR_1 - 1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(2kR_2 - 1)\right)$$

for $k \geq 1$ integer. By a version of the Künneth formula for the Dolbeault cohomology [19], this implies that

$$\mathcal{H}_k = H^0\left(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(2kR_1 - 1)\right) \otimes H^0\left(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(2kR_2 - 1)\right).$$

Let us now turn to quantum observables. For $i = 1, 2$, we consider the operators

$$X_i = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2kR_i}\right) T_{2kR_i-1}(x_i), \quad Y_i = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2kR_i}\right) T_{2kR_i-1}(y_i)$$

and

$$Z_i = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2kR_i}\right) T_{2kR_i-1}(z_i)$$

acting on $H^0\left(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(2kR_i - 1)\right)$. It follows from Lemma 5.2 that the operators

$$\begin{cases} \hat{J}_k = R_1 Z_1 + R_2 Z_2 \\ \\ \hat{H}_k = (1-t) Z_1 \otimes \mathrm{Id} + t\left(X_1 \otimes X_2 + Y_1 \otimes Y_2 + Z_1 \otimes Z_2\right) \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

acting on $\mathcal{H}_k$ are Berezin-Toeplitz operators with principal symbols J and H respectively. Indeed, multiplying by a scalar of the form $1 + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$ does not change the principal symbol.

Lemma 5.4. *The operators $\hat{J}_k$ and $\hat{H}_k$ commute.*

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k] =& t(R_1[Z_1 \otimes \mathrm{Id}, X_1 \otimes X_2] + R_1[Z_1 \otimes \mathrm{Id}, Y_1 \otimes Y_2] + R_2[\mathrm{Id} \otimes Z_2, X_1 \otimes X_2] \\ &+ R_2[\mathrm{Id} \otimes Z_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2]). \end{aligned}$$

Using the commutation relations (16), we obtain that

$$[Z_1 \otimes \mathrm{Id}, X_1 \otimes X_2] = [Z_1, X_1] \otimes X_2 = \frac{i}{kR_1} Y_1 \otimes X_2.$$

Similarly, we get that

$$[Z_1 \otimes \mathrm{Id}, Y_1 \otimes Y_2] = -\frac{i}{kR_1} X_1 \otimes Y_2, \quad [\mathrm{Id} \otimes Z_2, X_1 \otimes X_2] = \frac{i}{kR_2} X_1 \otimes Y_2$$

and

$$[\mathrm{Id} \otimes Z_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2] = -\frac{i}{kR_2} Y_1 \otimes X_2.$$

Using these relations, we obtain that $[\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k] = 0$. □

5.4 Joint spectrum

We want to compute the joint spectrum of $(\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k)$, which is the set of elements $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that there exists a common eigenvector $v \neq 0 \in \mathcal{H}_k$ such that $\hat{J}_k v = \lambda_1 v$ and $\hat{H}_k v = \lambda_2 v$. In order to do so, we start by finding the eigenvalues of $\hat{J}_k$. We start by endowing $\mathcal{H}_k$ with the orthonormal basis

$$g_{\ell,m} = e_\ell \otimes f_m, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq 2kR_1 - 1, \ 0 \leq m \leq 2kR_2 - 1$$

where e_ℓ, f_m are defined by

$$e_\ell = \sqrt{\frac{2kR_1 \binom{2kR_1-1}{\ell}}{2\pi}} z^{2kR_1-1-\ell}, \qquad f_m = \sqrt{\frac{2kR_2 \binom{2kR_2-1}{m}}{2\pi}} w^{2kR_2-1-m}$$

in the identification of $H^0\left(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(2kR_1 - 1)\right)$ with the space of polynomials of degree at most $2kR_1 - 1$ in the variable z and of $H^0\left(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(2kR_2 - 1)\right)$ with the space of polynomials of degree at most $2kR_2 - 1$ in the variable w.

Lemma 5.5. *The eigenvalues of $\hat{J}_k$ are the numbers*

$$\lambda_j^{(k)} = R_1 + R_2 - \frac{j+1}{k}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq 2(k(R_1 + R_2) - 1)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that

$$Z_1 e_\ell = \frac{2kR_1 - 1 - 2\ell}{2kR_1} e_\ell, \quad Z_2 f_m = \frac{2kR_2 - 1 - 2m}{2kR_2} f_m.$$

Since $\hat{J}_k g_{\ell,m} = R_1 Z_1 e_\ell \otimes f_m + R_2 e_\ell \otimes Z_2 f_m$, this yields

$$\hat{J}_k g_{\ell,m} = \frac{2k(R_1 + R_2) - 2 - 2(\ell + m)}{2k} g_{\ell,m} = \left(R_1 + R_2 - \frac{\ell + m + 1}{k}\right) g_{\ell,m}.$$

□

In order to compute the joint spectrum of $\hat{J}_k$ and $\hat{H}_k$, we need to find the eigenvalues of the restriction of $\hat{H}_k$ to a given eigenspace of $\hat{J}_k$. Clearly, the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_j^{(k)}$ is

$$E_{\lambda_j^{(k)}} = \mathrm{Span}\left\{g_{\ell,m};\ \ \ell+m=j,\ 0\leq \ell \leq 2kR_1-1,\ 0\leq m\leq 2kR_2-1\right\}.$$

If we want to compute an orthonormal basis for this eigenspace, we need to separate the three following cases:

1. if $0\leq j\leq 2kR_1-1$, then $E_{\lambda_j^{(k)}} = \mathrm{Span}\left(g_{0,j}, g_{1,j-1}, \dots, g_{j,0}\right)$, which has dimension $j+1$,
2. if $2kR_1 \leq j \leq 2kR_2-1$, then $E_{\lambda_j^{(k)}} = \mathrm{Span}\left(g_{0,j}, g_{1,j-1}, \dots, g_{2kR_1-1,j-2kR_1+1}\right)$, which has dimension $2kR_1$,
3. if $2kR_2 \leq j \leq 2(k(R_1+R_2)-1)$, then $E_{\lambda_j^{(k)}} = \mathrm{Span}\left(g_{j-2kR_2+1,2kR_2-1}, \dots, g_{2kR_1-1,j-2kR_1+1}\right)$, which has dimension $2k(R_1+R_2)-(j+1)$.

A direct computation using these formulas shows that the sum of the dimensions of the eigenspaces of $\hat{J}_k$ is indeed equal to $4k^2R_1R_2 = \dim \mathcal{H}_k$. Now that we have this very explicit description, it only remains to understand how $\hat{H}_k$ acts on a basis element $g_{\ell,m}$.

Lemma 5.6. *Using the convention $e_{-1}=0=e_{2kR_1}$ and $f_{-1}=0=f_{2kR_2}$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_k g_{\ell,m} =& \frac{1}{4k^2R_1R_2}\Big(2t\sqrt{\ell(2kR_1-\ell)(m+1)(2kR_2-1-m)}\ g_{\ell-1,m+1}\\ &+\left(2(kR_1-\ell)-1\right)\left(2kR_2-(2m+1)t\right)g_{\ell,m}\\ &+2t\sqrt{(\ell+1)(2kR_1-1-\ell)m(2kR_2-m)}\ g_{\ell+1,m-1}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.7. This formula is consistent with the fact that $\hat{H}_k$ preserves the eigenspaces of $\hat{J}_k$; indeed, if (ℓ,m) is such that $\ell+m=j$, the same holds for $(\ell-1,m+1)$ and $(\ell+1,m-1)$.

Proof. Again, it directly follows from Lemma 5.3 that

$$(Z_1\otimes \mathrm{Id})g_{\ell,m} = \frac{2(kR_1-\ell)-1}{2kR_1}g_{\ell,m}$$

and that

$$(Z_1\otimes Z_2)g_{\ell,m} = \frac{(2(kR_1-\ell)-1)(2(kR_2-m)-1)}{4k^2R_1R_2}g_{\ell,m}.$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$((1-t)(Z_1 \otimes \mathrm{Id}) + tZ_1 \otimes Z_2)\, g_{\ell,m} = \frac{(2(kR_1 - \ell) - 1)\,(2kR_2 - (2m+1)t)}{4k^2 R_1 R_2} g_{\ell,m}.$$

It remains to understand how the operator $X_1 \otimes X_2 + Y_1 \otimes Y_2$ acts on $g_{\ell,m}$. Using Lemma 5.3, we obtain that

$$(X_1 \otimes X_2) g_{\ell,m} = \frac{1}{4k^2 R_1 R_2} \left(a_{\ell,m} g_{\ell-1,m-1} + b_{\ell,m} g_{\ell-1,m+1} + c_{\ell,m} g_{\ell+1,m-1} + d_{\ell,m} g_{\ell+1,m+1}\right)$$

where

$$a_{\ell,m} = \sqrt{\ell(2kR_1 - \ell)m(2kR_2 - m)}, \quad d_{\ell,m} = \sqrt{(\ell+1)(2kR_1 - 1 - \ell)(m+1)(2kR_2 - 1 - m)}$$

and

$$b_{\ell,m} = \sqrt{\ell(2kR_1 - \ell)(m+1)(2kR_2 - 1 - m)}, \quad c_{\ell,m} = \sqrt{(\ell+1)(2kR_1 - 1 - \ell)m(2kR_2 - m)}.$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$(Y_1 \otimes Y_2) g_{\ell,m} = \frac{1}{4k^2 R_1 R_2} \left(-a_{\ell,m} g_{\ell-1,m-1} + b_{\ell,m} g_{\ell-1,m+1} + c_{\ell,m} g_{\ell+1,m-1} - d_{\ell,m} g_{\ell+1,m+1}\right).$$

Consequently,

$$(X_1 \otimes X_2 + Y_1 \otimes Y_2) g_{\ell,m} = \frac{1}{4k^2 R_1 R_2} \left(2b_{\ell,m} g_{\ell-1,m+1} + 2c_{\ell,m} g_{\ell+1,m-1}\right),$$

and the result follows. □

Now we have everything that we need in order to compute the matrices of the restrictions $\hat{H}_k^{(j)}$ of $\hat{H}_k$ to the eigenspaces $E_{\lambda_j^{(k)}}$ in the bases introduced above. This allows us to compute the joint spectrum

$$\mathrm{JSp}\left(\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k\right) = \left\{\left(\lambda_j^{(k)}, \lambda\right);\ 0 \leq j \leq 2(k(R_1+R_2)-1),\ \lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}\left(\hat{H}_k^{(j)}\right)\right\}.$$

We display this joint spectrum for certain values of the parameters in Figure 5.

5.5 Conjectural Bohr-Sommerfeld rules

In this section, we state a conjecture regarding the description of the joint spectrum of a pair of commuting self-adjoint Berezin-Toeplitz operators near a focus-focus critical value of map formed by their principal symbols. We also give numerical evidence for this conjecture using the operators constructed above. Note that a similar conjecture was stated in [15, Conjecture 5.2], but we give here a more precise version.



(a) $t = 0$ (b) $t = 0.2$ (c) $t = t^- = \frac{5}{2(6+\sqrt{10})}$



(d) $t = 0.4$ (e) $t = 0.5$ (f) $t = 0.7$



(g) $t = t^+ = \frac{5}{2(6-\sqrt{10})}$ (h) $t = 0.9$ (i) $t = 1$

Figure 5: The blue dots constitute the joint spectrum of the quantized coupled angular momenta $(\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k)$ for different values of t for $R_1 = 1$, $R_2 = 5/2$ and $k = 5$. The red line indicates the boundary of $F(M)$; the center of the black circle corresponds to the critical value $c_0 = (-\frac{3}{2}, 1 - 2t)$.

Let (M,ω) be a compact, connected four dimensional Kähler manifold, endowed with a prequantum line bundle $(L,\nabla)\to M$. In order to simplify the discussion, let us assume that there exists a half-form bundle $\delta\to M$, with a given line bundle isomorphism $\varphi:\delta^{\otimes 2}\to \Lambda^{n,0}T^*M$; we could still state a conjecture without this assumption, but it would be more complicated (observe also that in the example above, such a half-form bundle exists). Let A_k, B_k be two commuting self-adjoint Berezin-Toeplitz operators acting on $\mathcal{H}_k = H^0(M, L^{\otimes k}\otimes \delta)$, with respective principal symbols f and g and subprincipal symbols r and s (for a definition of the subprincipal symbol in this context, see [6]). Assume also that $(0,0)$ is a critical value of focus-focus type for $F=(f,g)$, and that there is a unique critical point m_0 on $F^{-1}(0,0)$. We want to describe the joint spectrum Σ_k of (A_k,B_k) near $(0,0)$.

Before stating our conjecture, we need to introduce some quantities. Let $\gamma\subset M$ be an embedded closed curve. The *principal action* of γ is the number $c_0(\gamma)\in\mathbb{Z}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ such that the parallel transport along γ in (L,∇) is the multiplication by $\exp(ic_0(\gamma))$. We also define an index $\varepsilon(\gamma)\in\{0,1\}$ in the following way. Let δ_γ be the restriction of δ to γ, and consider the map

$$\varphi_\gamma:\delta_\gamma\to T^*\gamma\otimes\mathbb{C},\quad u\mapsto \iota^*\varphi(u)$$

where ι is the embedding of γ into M. It is an isomorphism of line bundles, and the set

$$\{u\in\delta_\gamma,\quad \varphi_\gamma(u^{\otimes 2})>0\}$$

has either one connected component, in which case we set $\varepsilon(\gamma)=1$, or two connected components, in which case we set $\varepsilon(\gamma)=0$. Finally, let γ_0 be a radial simple loop on $F^{-1}(0,0)$.

Conjecture 5.8. *There exist sequences of functions $\lambda(t,k)$, $e^{(1)}(t,k)$, $e^{(2)}(t,k)\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R})$ having asymptotic expansions of the form*

$$\lambda(t,k)=\sum_{\ell\geq -1}k^{-\ell}\lambda_\ell,\quad e^{(i)}(t,k)=\sum_{\ell\geq 0}k^{-\ell}e^{(i)}{}_\ell$$

for $i=1,2$, for the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-topology, such that for every compact neighborhood K of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and for every family $t=(t_1,t_2)\in K$ satisfying

$$e^{(1)}(t,k)=k^{-1}n+O(k^{-\infty}),\ n\in\mathbb{Z},$$

the pair $(k^{-1}t_1,k^{-1}t_2)$ belongs to $\Sigma_k+O(k^{-\infty})$ if and only if

$$\lambda(t,k)+|n|\frac{\pi}{2}-e^{(2)}(t,k)\ln(2k^{-1})-2\arg\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{ie^{(2)}(t,k)+|n|+1}{2}\right)\right)\in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}+O(k^{-\infty}).$$

Here, Γ is the Gamma function. Furthermore,

- *$\lambda_{-1}(t)=c_0(\gamma_0)$, $\lambda_0(t)=I_{\gamma_0}(t)+\varepsilon(\gamma_0)\pi$, where I_{γ_0} is a regularization of the integral of the subprincipal form (the same as in the pseudodifferential case),*

- $\begin{pmatrix} e_0^{(1)}(t) \\ e_0^{(2)}(t) \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} t_1 - r(m_0) \\ t_2 - s(m_0) \end{pmatrix}$ *where* B *stands for a* 2×2 *matrix such that* $B \circ (d^2 f, d^2 g) = (q_1, q_2)$ *near the focus-focus critical point (see Equation (5) and the discussion preceding it).*

Now, assume for simplicity that for every integer $k \geq 1$, zero is an eigenvalue of A_k. Let $E_0^{(k)} \leq E_1^{(k)} \leq \ldots$ be the eigenvalues of the restriction of B_k to $\ker A_k$. Following [22, Theorem 7.6] (see also [15, Section 5.3]), if true, the above conjecture would imply in particular that

$$\min_p \left(k \left(E_{p+1}^{(k)} - E_p^{(k)} \right) \right) = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{\ln k + a_2 + \ln 2 + \gamma} + O(k^{-1})$$

where $\alpha = \left\| B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\|$, γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and

$$S^\infty = a_1 X + a_2 Y + \sum_{i+j>1} b_{ij} X^i Y^j$$

is the Taylor series defined in Section 4.1. Coming back to our system (J, H) of coupled angular momenta with $t = 1/2$ and its quantum counterpart $(\hat{J}_k, \hat{H}_k)$, it is clear from Lemma 5.5 that zero is always in the spectrum of $\hat{J}_k$, and B is of the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

so the above formula gives

$$\min_p \left(k \left(E_{p+1}^{(k)} - E_p^{(k)} \right) \right) = \frac{2\pi}{|a_{22}|(\ln k + a_2 + \ln 2 + \gamma)} + O(k^{-1}).$$

Let us test this numerically on our example with $R_1 = 1$ and $R_2 = 5/2$; in this case, we know from Propositions 4.7 and 4.13 that

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{10}{3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_2 = \frac{7}{2} \ln 2 + 3 \ln 3 - \frac{3}{2} \ln 5.$$

Consequently, the asymptotics

$$\min_p \left(k \left(E_{p+1}^{(k)} - E_p^{(k)} \right) \right) = \frac{3\pi}{5(\ln k + \frac{9}{2} \ln 2 + 3 \ln 3 - \frac{3}{2} \ln 5 + \gamma)} + O(k^{-1})$$

should hold; this is checked in Figure 6.



Figure 6: The blue diamonds correspond to numerical computations of the minimal spectral gap $\min\left(k\left(E_{p+1}^{(k)}-E_p^{(k)}\right)\right)$ as a function of $\ln k$, for $t=1/2$, $R_1=1$ and $R_2=5/2$. The red line corresponds to the curve $\ln x \mapsto \frac{3\pi}{5\left(\ln x+\frac{9}{2}\ln 2+3\ln 3-\frac{3}{2}\ln 5+\gamma\right)}$, giving the theoretical equivalent of this minimal spectral gap.

5.6 Recovering the height invariant from the joint spectrum

To conclude, let us briefly indicate how we can also use the joint spectrum to check that we have found the right formula for the height invariant h in Proposition 4.18. Indeed, one can use a Weyl law to relate h to the number of negative eigenvalues of the restriction of $\hat{H}_k$ to the kernel of $\hat{J}_k$. More precisely,

$$h=\lim_{k\to+\infty} k^{-1}\#\left(\mathrm{Sp}\left(\hat{H}_{k|\ker \hat{J}_k}\right)\cap(-\infty,0)\right). \tag{18}$$

We check this formula in Figure 7.



Figure 7: Comparison of the two terms in Equation (18) for $k = 500$, $t = 1/2$, $R_1 = 1$ and $R_2 = 3/2, 2, 5/2, \ldots, 10$. The red line corresponds to the theoretical value of h obtained in Proposition 4.18, while the blue diamonds correspond to the numerical computation of the number of negative eigenvalues of the restriction of $\hat{H}_k$ to $\ker \hat{J}_k$, divided by k.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah. Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 14:1–15, 1982.

[2] A. Bloch, F. Golse, T. Paul, and A. Uribe. Dispersionless Toda and Toeplitz operators. *Duke Math. J.*, 117(1):157–196, 2003.

[3] A. V. Bolsinov and A. T. Fomenko. *Integrable Hamiltonian systems*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004. Geometry, topology, classification, Translated from the 1999 Russian original.

[4] L. Boutet de Monvel and V. Guillemin. *The spectral theory of Toeplitz operators*, volume 99 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981.

[5] L. Charles. Berezin-Toeplitz operators, a semi-classical approach. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 239(1-2):1–28, 2003.

[6] L. Charles. Symbolic calculus for Toeplitz operators with half-form. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 4(2):171–198, 2006.

[7] H. R. Dullin. Semi-global symplectic invariants of the spherical pendulum. *J. Differential Equations*, 254(7):2942–2963, 2013.

[8] L. H. Eliasson. *Hamiltonian systems with Poisson commuting integrals*. PhD thesis, University of Stockholm, 1984.

[9] M. D. Garay and D. van Straten. Classical and quantum integrability. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 10(3):519–545, 661, 2010.

[10] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. Convexity properties of the moment mapping. *Invent. Math.*, 67:491–513, 1982.

[11] B. Kostant. Quantization and unitary representations. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 28(1(169)):163–225, 1973. Translated from the English (Lectures in Modern Analysis and Applications, III, pp. 87–208, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 170, Springer, Berlin, 1970) by A. A. Kirillov.

[12] X. Ma and G. Marinescu. Toeplitz operators on symplectic manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 18(2):565–611, 2008.

[13] K. R. Meyer, G. R. Hall, and D. Offin. *Introduction to Hamiltonian dynamical systems and the N-body problem*, volume 90 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2009.

[14] Á. Pelayo, T. Ratiu, and S. Vũ Ngọc. The affine invariant of generalized semitoric systems. Preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.7516.

[15] Á. Pelayo and S. Vũ Ngọc. Hamiltonian dynamics and spectral theory for spin-oscillators. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 309(1):123–154, 2012.

[16] Á. Pelayo and S. Vũ Ngọc. Semitoric integrable systems on symplectic 4-manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 177(3):571–597, 2009.

[17] Á. Pelayo and S. Vũ Ngọc. Constructing integrable systems of semitoric type. *Acta Math.*, 206(1):93–125, 2011.

[18] D. Sadovskij and B. Zhilinskij. Monodromy, diabolic points, and angular momentum coupling. *Phys. Lett., A*, 256(4):235–244, 1999.

[19] J. Sampson and G. Washnitzer. A Künneth formula for coherent algebraic sheaves. *Ill. J. Math.*, 3:389–402, 1959.

[20] M. Schlichenmaier. Berezin-Toeplitz quantization for compact Kähler manifolds. A review of results. *Adv. Math. Phys.*, pages Art. ID 927280, 38, 2010.

[21] J.-M. Souriau. Quantification géométrique. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 1:374–398, 1966.

[22] S. Vũ Ngọc. Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions for integrable systems with critical manifolds of focus-focus type. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53(2):143–217, 2000.

[23] S. Vũ Ngọc. On semi-global invariants for focus-focus singularities. *Topology*, 42(2):365–380, 2003.

[24] S. Vũ Ngọc. Moment polytopes for symplectic manifolds with monodromy. *Adv. Math.*, 208(2):909–934, 2007.

[25] J. Williamson. On the Algebraic Problem Concerning the Normal Forms of Linear Dynamical Systems. *Amer. J. Math.*, 58(1):141–163, 1936.

Yohann Le Floch
School of Mathematical Sciences
Tel Aviv University
Ramat Aviv
Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel
E-mail: yoh.lefloch@gmail.com
Website: https://sites.google.com/site/yohannleflochhomepage/english-version

Álvaro Pelayo
Department of Mathematics
University of California, San Diego
9500 Gilman Drive # 0112
La Jolla, CA 92093-0112, USA
E-mail: alpelayo@math.ucsd.edu